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Background: Mild cognitive impairment is increasingly recognized as an important public health problem associated with increased risk of developing dementia. Annual conversion rates, however, vary across different studies with clinic samples showing higher rates of conversion than community-based samples.

Objectives: To establish whether the rates of conversion from mild cognitive impairment to dementia differed according to recruitment source and, if so, to investigate factors that might explain this discrepancy.

Design: Rates and predictors of conversion were examined in a prospective longitudinal study at a single center.

Setting: Among the participants, 46% were recruited from a clinical setting and 54% were recruited directly through community outreach.

Participants: One hundred eleven individuals with mild cognitive impairment were followed up longitudinally for an average of 2.4 years (range, 0.5-4.0 years).

Main Outcome Measures: Conversion from mild cognitive impairment to dementia.

Results: During the follow-up period, 28 individuals progressed to dementia with a mean (SD) time to conversion of 2.19 (0.72) years. The clinic sample had an annual conversion rate of 13%, whereas the community sample had an annual conversion rate of 3%. In a Cox proportional hazards model, clinic recruitment source alone was associated with an increased hazard of incident dementia (hazard ratio = 3.50; 95% confidence interval, 1.31-9.18; P = .01). When other variables were added to the model, only baseline functional impairment as measured by the Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (and no demographic, cognitive, or neuroimaging variables or mild cognitive impairment subtype) accounted for the differences in conversion rates across the 2 cohorts.

Conclusions: These findings add to the growing literature to suggest that the degree of functional impairment at baseline is an important predictor of conversion to dementia and may help explain differences in findings between epidemiological and clinic-based studies.
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clinic samples reflect differences in diagnostic practices (a methodological problem) or differences in population sampling (the results of which reveal something about the disorder itself). To our knowledge, no previous study has directly examined whether the conversion rate differs among individuals who were recruited into the study by different routes but underwent identical diagnostic evaluations.

To directly address this question, we examined conversion rates in individuals prospectively enrolled in a longitudinal study at a single center who were recruited either through a memory disorders clinic or via community outreach. Both groups were diagnosed using the same diagnostic methods. The first purpose of this study was to establish whether the conversion rates differed according to recruitment source. If conversion did differ by recruitment source, the second purpose was to investigate factors that might explain this discrepancy.

A variety of predictors have been examined to improve identification of individuals with MCI who will convert to dementia. Baseline memory performance and other cognitive impairments are robust predictors of incident dementia.\(^\text{21}\) Evidence from quantitative magnetic resonance imaging studies suggests that hippocampal atrophy\(^\text{16}\) and white matter abnormalities\(^\text{22}\) are also associated with conversion. While the issue of how much impairment in everyday function is consistent with the syndrome of MCI is an area of ongoing controversy, several studies have documented the presence of mild problems in everyday function in MCI groups.\(^\text{18-20}\) Recent evidence suggests that mild problems in functional abilities at baseline are associated with more rapid decline\(^\text{22}\) and greater risk of conversion to dementia.\(^\text{19,22}\)

The first hypothesis of this study was that conversion to dementia occurs at a lower rate in the community sample as compared with the clinic sample. Second, because the diagnostic criteria for MCI are explicit about cognitive criteria but are indistinct about impairment in daily function, it was hypothesized that the baseline level of functional impairment as measured by the Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (CDR) sum of boxes score would explain the effects of recruitment source and independently predict progression to dementia longitudinally.

### METHODS

#### SUBJECT RECRUITMENT

All of the participants were part of a longitudinal study of cognition in educationally and ethnically diverse older adults. While participants in the larger longitudinal study varied in terms of cognitive impairment (ie, cognitively normal, having MCI, or having dementia), the present study focuses on only those who were diagnosed with MCI at their baseline evaluation. Subject recruitment for this longitudinal cohort occurred through 2 routes: (1) clinic referrals and (2) community outreach.\(^\text{23}\)

Participants in the clinic referral group were seen through a university-based memory disorders clinic, having been referred for a clinical evaluation by a physician owing to suspected cognitive decline. Healthy control subjects recruited through this route included those determined to have no cognitive impairment and those who were family members of impaired clinical recruits. The community outreach program was developed to further maximize demographic and cognitive diversity. Bicultural (and bilingual) recruiters approached elderly persons in community settings to elicit participation in research. Recruitment settings were designed to be inclusive of individuals with all forms of cognitive ability and included community health care facilities, church groups, community agencies, and senior centers and groups. Ethnic groups specifically targeted for recruitment included African American, Hispanic, and white individuals. On average, of those approached about participating in research, 61% were eventually enrolled.

Regardless of recruitment route, the inclusion criterion was being older than 60 years and the exclusion criteria included unstable major medical illness or major primary psychiatric disorder. All of the participants signed informed consent, and all human subject involvement was overseen by institutional review boards at the University of California, Davis, the Veterans Administration Northern California Health Care System, Mather, and San Joaquin General Hospital, Stockton, California. Within the larger parent sample, those recruited from the clinic setting had a mean (SD) age of 75.9 (6.8) years and a mean (SD) education of 13.5 (4.0) years, with 70% being white and 71% being female. Also within the larger parent sample, participants recruited from the community had a mean (SD) age of 73.9 (7.2) years and a mean (SD) education of 11.6 (3.2) years, with 25% being white and 60% being female.

### CLINICAL EVALUATION

All of the participants regardless of recruitment source received annual multidisciplinary clinical evaluations through the University of California, Davis, Alzheimer’s Disease Center. The same group of clinicians (S.T.F., D.M., B.R.R., and C.D.) performed all of the evaluations and established the diagnoses according to the same protocol. Evaluations included a detailed medical history, a physical examination, and a neurological examination. A physician fluent in Spanish (William Seavey, MD) examined subjects who spoke only Spanish. A family member or informant was interviewed to obtain information about independent functioning. All of the subjects received diagnostic neuroimaging according to the American Academy of Neurology guidelines\(^\text{24}\) and routine laboratory tests.

Each participant underwent a clinical neuropsychological evaluation using a standard battery of tests comprising the Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer’s Disease neuropsychological battery\(^\text{25}\) (Mini-Mental State Examination, list learning, animal fluency, constructional praxis, Boston Naming Test) supplemented by the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale Revised digit symbol subscale,\(^\text{26}\) the Trail Making Test, and the American version of the National Adult Reading Test.\(^\text{27}\)

Diagnosis of cognitive syndrome (healthy, MCI, or dementia) was made according to standardized criteria at a consensus conference. Cognitive impairment was clinically identified when a participant’s performance fell approximately 1.5 SDs below age-corrected norms and in reference to their education and occupational background. Dementia was diagnosed using Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (Third Edition Revisited)\(^\text{28}\) criteria for dementia. Individuals with cognitive changes not meeting criteria for dementia were diagnosed with MCI. Individuals with MCI could have the following: (1) a single memory impairment; (2) a single non-memory impairment; (3) multiple cognitive impairments including memory; or (4) multiple impairments without memory impairment. Individuals with MCI could not have impairments in basic activities of daily living or be dependent on others in any instrumental activities of daily living, but they could have lesser degrees of functional problems. Importantly, all di-
agnotes were made blind to research neuropsychological testing, quantitative brain image analysis, and the CDR (one of the predictor variables in the current study).

STUDY SAMPLE

This study focused on 111 individuals diagnosed as having MCI at the baseline assessment; 46% were recruited through a memory disorders clinic (University of California, Davis, Alzheimer’s Disease Center) and 54% were recruited through the community. The distribution of MCI subtypes across the 2 samples was not significantly different ($P = .10$) (Table 1). Participants had a mean (SD) age of 75.3 (7.5) years and a mean (SD) education of 12.2 (5.2) years, and 51% were female. Of the entire sample, 52% were self-identified as belonging to an ethnic minority (27 individuals were African American, 25 were Hispanic, 3 were Asian, and 6 were of other ethnicities). Length of follow-up ranged from 0.5 to 4.0 years, with a mean of 2.4 years.

INSTRUMENTS

Neuropsychological Measures

The Spanish and English Neuropsychological Assessment Scales (SENAS) $^{29,30}$ was used to measure 2 specific domains of cognitive functioning: episodic memory and executive function. The SENAS is the result of an extensive development process that has used Item Response Theory methods to create English and Spanish language measures of cognitive domains. Episodic memory is a composite variable composed of indices from a supraspan word list learning task. The executive functioning variable comprises several working memory and fluency tests. The SENAS is unique among neuropsychological test batteries in that it measures multiple cognitive domains using a set of tests that have equivalent (unbiased) versions in Spanish and English, have large-sample normative data in both Spanish and English, use subscales that are psychometrically matched so that they have linear measurement properties, and use subscales that have similar reliability of measurement across a 4-SD range of function. The SENAS measures are also equally sensitive to clinical diagnosis (healthy, MCI, or dementia) in Hispanic and white individuals. $^{31}$ These 2 cognitive measures served as primary cognitive risk factors for conversion and were not used in the diagnostic process.

Quantitative Magnetic Resonance Imaging Measures

Brain imaging was obtained at the University of California, Davis, Research Imaging Center on a 1.5-T GE Signa Horizons LX Echo-speed system (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisconsin) or at the Martinez Outpatient Clinic, Veterans Affairs Northern California Health Care System, Martinez, on a 1.5-T Marconi system (Marconi Medical Systems, Cleveland, Ohio). Comparable imaging parameters were used at each site. Volumetric measures of white matter hyperintensity (WMH), total brain matter, and total intracranial volume were derived from previously described automated image segmentation methods $^{12}$ based on a fluid-attenuated inversion recovery sequence designed to enhance WMH segmentation. $^{32}$ Volume of the hippocampus was also quantitated using a computer algorithm applied to manually traced hippocampal boundaries on 3-dimensional T1-weighted images. Magnetic resonance imaging measures of WMH volume, total brain volume, and hippocampal volume were normalized to total intracranial volume and multiplied by 100.

| Table 1. Mild Cognitive Impairment Subtype Distributions by Recruitment Source |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| MCI Subtype         | Clinic (n=51) | Community (n=60) |
| Memory              |            |            |
| Single domain       | 53         | 38         |
| Multiple domain     | 32         | 26         |
| Nonmemory           |            |            |
| Single domain       | 4          | 16         |
| Multiple domain     | 11         | 20         |

Abbreviation: MCI, mild cognitive impairment.

Functional Assessment

The CDR $^{33}$ was used as a global measure of everyday functioning. The CDR is based on a structured caregiver interview. Scores are obtained in 6 different functional domains (memory, orientation, judgment and problem solving, community affairs, home and hobbies, and personal care). The sum of boxes score is the arithmetic sum of the 6 subscores $^{3}$ and was used in the primary analysis. The CDR was not used to determine diagnosis, and scores could diverge from clinical diagnosis (eg, patients with a CDR score of 0.3 were not necessarily diagnosed as having MCI).

DATA ANALYSIS

We used t test and analysis of covariance to analyze the differences between the groups with regard to the demographic and clinical variables for continuous variables, and the distributions of categorical variables were compared with $\chi^2$ test. Time from study entry to either the last assessment or the assessment at which a diagnosis of dementia was made was considered the time to the event. A person was considered censored if he or she was no longer being followed up and had not yet received a dementia diagnosis or had been followed up for 4 years without receiving a diagnosis of dementia. Kaplan-Meier curves with conversion to dementia diagnosis considered an event were used to illustrate differences in conversion patterns between the recruitment sources. Cox proportional hazards models were used to assess risk for incident dementia. The baseline model examined recruitment source as a potential risk factor. Subsequent hazards models included recruitment source along with demographic, cognitive, imaging, and functional variables as potential risks for conversion. To reduce differences in follow-up time and provide a fair comparison between recruitment sources, analyses were restricted to a maximum of 4 years of follow-up. Survival models that accounted for interval censoring (owing to the uncertainty of the timing of the conversion between assessments) were also run.

BASELINE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN GROUPS

Table 2 presents comparisons in demographic and clinical variables across the recruitment groups. The groups differed across all of the demographic variables. The clinic sample was slightly older, was more educated, and included a higher percentage of men. Community-recruited participants were more likely to be of an ethnic...
CONVERSION RATES

Of the entire sample, 28 individuals (23 from the clinic and 5 from the community) progressed to dementia with a mean (SD) time to conversion of 2.19 (0.72) years (range, 0.88-3.40 years), resulting in a dementia incidence of 10% per year. However, when examined separately, the 2 sub-samples had substantially different rates of conversion. The clinic sample showed an annual rate of conversion of 13%, whereas the community group had a conversion rate of 3% per year. The corresponding incidence rates were 0.17 per person-year in the clinic and 0.04 per person-year in the community. In a Cox proportional hazards model, clinic recruitment source was associated with an increased hazard of incident dementia (hazard ratio [HR] = 3.50; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.31-9.18; \( P = .01 \)). The Figure shows the survival curves associated with developing dementia over time in the 2 samples.

PREDICTORS OF CONVERSION

Next, we examined specific variables that may account for the recruitment source effect. We first examined demographic variables (age, education, and sex). Because ethnicity was highly correlated with recruitment source, these 2 variables could not be included together as risk factors for conversion. In a proportional hazards model including recruitment source and the demographic variables, recruitment source remained the only variable independently associated with an increased hazard of conversion (HR = 3.22; 95% CI, 1.18-8.84; \( P = .02 \)). No demographic variables were significantly associated with conversion independent of recruitment source, including age (\( P = .74 \)), education (\( P = .57 \)), and sex (\( P = .16 \)).

We then evaluated baseline cognitive variables. In a model that included recruitment source, Mini-Mental State Examination score, age, and education, only recruitment source was a significant predictor of future conversion (HR = 3.32; 95% CI, 1.19-9.22; \( P = .02 \)). The specific domains of (baseline) episodic memory and executive function were examined next. In a model that included recruitment source, episodic memory, age, and education, both clinic recruitment source (HR = 3.01; 95% CI, 1.07-8.44; \( P = .04 \)) and worse baseline episodic memory (HR = 0.50; 95% CI, 0.26-0.97; \( P = .04 \)) were associated with an increased hazard of future conversion. In a model that included recruitment source, baseline executive function, age, and education, clinic recruitment source (HR = 3.39; 95% CI, 1.21-9.50; \( P = .02 \)), but not executive function (HR = 0.63; 95% CI, 0.27-1.50; \( P = .30 \)), was associated with an increased risk hazard of conversion. An alternate approach to examining the relationship between cognition and risk of conversion is to examine MCI subtype in relation to conversion to dementia. In this analysis, the MCI subtype was not related to conversion to dementia (\( P = .75 \)).

Baseline brain imaging variables were also examined as predictors of conversion. In a model containing recruitment source, WMH volume, and demographic variables, both WMH volume (HR = 1.23; 95% CI, 1.02-1.48; \( P = .03 \)) and recruitment source (HR = 3.09; 95% CI, 1.09-8.74; \( P = .03 \)) were significantly associated with conversion. In similar models, neither hippocampal volume (\( P = .12 \)) nor total brain matter volume (\( P = .14 \)) was significantly associated with conversion, independent of recruitment source.

Finally, we tested our hypothesis that baseline functional status would help account for differences in con-

**Table 2. Differences Between Demographic and Clinical Variables Across the Recruitment Source Groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Clinic</th>
<th>Community</th>
<th>( P ) Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD), yr</td>
<td>76.9 (7.3)</td>
<td>73.8 (6.8)</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, mean (SD), yr</td>
<td>13.5 (3.7)</td>
<td>10.2 (6.1)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, %</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic minority, %</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline clinical, mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE score</td>
<td>26.5 (2.7)</td>
<td>25.5 (10.8)</td>
<td>.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory score(^a)</td>
<td>-0.84 (0.65)</td>
<td>-0.73 (0.66)</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive score(^b)</td>
<td>-0.18 (0.52)</td>
<td>-0.58 (0.61)</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total brain volume(^b)</td>
<td>77.4 (4.1)</td>
<td>79.9 (5.1)</td>
<td>.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMH volume(^b)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.47)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.72)</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR sum of boxes</td>
<td>2.24 (1.82)</td>
<td>1.12 (1.41)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating Scale; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; WMH, white matter hyperintensity.

\(^a\) These values are in reference to a mean of 0.0 and an SD of 1.0; \( P \) values are from between-group analyses that covaried education.

\(^b\) Imaging variables are expressed as the percentage of total cranial volume.
version rates across the 2 recruitment source groups. In a model that included recruitment source, CDR score, age, and education, recruitment source was no longer associated with conversion (P = .40), whereas greater functional impairment as measured by the CDR was significantly associated with hazard of conversion (HR = 1.30; 95% CI, 1.01-1.66; P = .03). Additionally, in a joint model including all of the variables that were independently associated with risk of conversion in previous models (ie, recruitment source, episodic memory, WMH volume, and CDR score), only CDR score (HR = 1.39; 95% CI, 1.04-1.83; P = .03) was independently associated with risk of conversion to dementia. Results were identical when including demographic variables in the model.

Models incorporating interval censoring showed results essentially identical to those described earlier.

**COMMENT**

To our knowledge, this study is the first to specifically evaluate source of recruitment as a predictor of conversion in a group of participants identically evaluated from the same research center. These results demonstrate that the rate of conversion differs substantially as a function of the method by which participants are recruited to the study, with the community sample showing a substantially lower annual conversion rate than the clinic sample (3% and 13%, respectively). The low conversion rate within the community sample of this study is similar to those in other population-based studies of conversion that had used similar diagnostic methods.39 We found the difference in conversion rate between the clinic and community MCI groups somewhat surprising given their equivalent baseline cognitive scores. However, the 2 groups did differ on a number of other important variables that could put them at increased risk of conversion to dementia. For example, the clinic sample had more functional impairment and lower total brain matter and hippocampal volumes at baseline. These differences suggest more advanced disease in the clinic sample, thereby indicating a state of illness further along the continuum toward dementia.

Although there were a number of differences between the clinical and community groups, the only variable that accounted for group membership itself as a risk for dementia was baseline functional impairment on the CDR. Thus, regardless of whether an individual was a clinic patient or recruited directly from the community, more functional impairment at baseline was an important risk for future conversion to dementia. The greater functional impairment at baseline within the clinically recruited group appears to account for their increased risk of conversion. When the CDR was replaced with an alternate measure of functional status in the analysis (the Blessed Roth Dementia Rating Scale), identical results were obtained (data not shown); this suggests that findings are not specific to one particular measure of functional status. It may be that functional changes are further downstream in the disease process and so reflect the disease effect in a summative way that has substantial prognostic importance. Consistent with this idea, the CDR is considered a measure of disease severity. Along these lines, it was the CDR sum of boxes rather than any of the specific CDR domains that was most strongly associated with future conversion (data not shown). Measures of functional decline may also be particularly useful measures of disease severity in individuals with low levels of education and/or of an ethnic minority because they are less affected by demographic variables.35

Although the CDR score was the only variable to remove the recruitment source differences in conversion rate, 2 other variables showed evidence of an independent risk of conversion. Greater baseline episodic memory impairment regardless of recruitment source was associated with conversion. Such findings are consistent with a large body of literature that suggests baseline memory to be associated with conversion.12,38 Additionally, higher WMH volume burden was associated with increased risk for conversion to dementia. Given that WMH volume is related to impaired executive function,37 it is possible that WMH volume contributes to additional cognitive impairments necessary for the diagnosis of dementia, particularly when Alzheimer disease pathologic manifestations are relatively mild38 such as in MCI.

Very few longitudinal studies of MCI have been carried out among older adults from diverse racial, ethnic, educational, and linguistic backgrounds, as such, this study represents an important step toward closing this gap in the literature. The differential ethnic distribution in our 2 samples (80% of the community sample is of an ethnic minority, whereas 80% of the clinic sample is white) is a limitation of the current study. However, it seems extremely unlikely that it is simply the case that conversion rates are lower in Hispanic and African American individuals, given previous evidence that the rate of dementia in Hispanic and African American individuals is similar40,42 or possibly higher than that in white individuals.31,44 It is possible that the rate of misdiagnosis was higher in the community sample, specifically that more ethnic minorities who were in fact cognitively normal were misdiagnosed as having MCI.45,46 For a number of reasons, we do not believe that to be true here. First, the samples did not differ on psychometrically robust scales of memory and executive function derived from the SENAS. The SENAS is a battery of tests created from the ground up as to assess cognitive function in a cross-cultural context and is the result of an extensive test development process using Item Response Theory methods. Item Response Theory provides powerful methods for examining the issue of item and scale bias; validation data have shown that the SENAS scales perform very similarly in ethnic minority and majority samples.33 We have also recently shown that brain volumes differ similarly between healthy persons, those with MCI, and those with dementia regardless of ethnicity,21 providing further validation of these diagnostic syndromes.

The inclusion of individuals recruited directly from the community who were not actively seeking evaluation likely increased the generalizability of our findings as compared with studies using only clinical samples. However, there is still a self-selection bias operating within the community sample. Participants had to agree to be part of the study and undergo testing. Those who de-
clined to participate may have been in poorer health or may have had more cognitive impairment. Thus, while the conversion rate in the community group is similar to many epidemiological studies, it may underestimate rates of conversion in the general elderly population. As noted in “Methods,” however, our recruitment efforts were designed to maximize involvement of cognitively impaired individuals.

In summary, MCI is a heterogeneous group with variable rates of conversion to dementia. This study confirms that rates of conversion systematically vary depending on the nature of the sample, with a significantly higher conversion rate in a clinical-based sample of individuals actively seeking evaluation and/or treatment. Similarly evaluated and diagnosed persons in the community show substantially lower conversion rates. An important implication of this is that the conversion rate for MCI obtained in clinic samples should not be used to estimate the risk of dementia in population-based samples of persons with MCI. One objective variable that helps to account for this bias is baseline functional status. Thus, in an educationally and ethnically diverse population, those with more functional impairment at their baseline evaluation—regardless of whether they are actively seeking an evaluation for a neurodegenerative disease—are at increased risk for conversion to dementia even within a relatively short follow-up period.
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