While it is well recognized that some patients with multiple sclerosis (MS) can either present with or develop severe cognitive impairment during the course of their disease, the mechanisms underlying severe cognitive dysfunction in MS are not well understood. Here, we report on a patient with MS who developed severe cognitive impairment associated with intrathecal antibodies to the NR1 subunit of the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR).

**Report of a Case**

A 33-year-old woman developed bilateral plantar paresthesia and numbness in her right leg in June 2002. Five years before, an episode of blurred vision in both eyes had resolved spontaneously. Neurological examination revealed a mild spastic paraparesis and hypesthesia of the right leg. Cranial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed disseminated periventricular hyperintense lesions and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) examination findings revealed a white blood cell count of 9/μL (reference range, <5/μL; to convert to ×10⁹ per liter, multiply by 0.001) and CSF-specific oligoclonal bands. She was diagnosed as having relapsing-remitting MS and was prescribed immunomodulatory therapy with glatiramer acetate, 20 mg/d subcutaneous. The patient had 2 further relapses with a left facial palsy in February 2003 and transient dysarthria in September 2004. Glatiramer acetate was discontinued in June 2004 because she wished to become pregnant. Findings from thorough neurological and psychiatric examinations performed in July 2005 were normal. Nevertheless, after the patient had given birth to a healthy child in March 2006, she developed a new relapse with gait ataxia and dysarthria and first noticed disorientation and memory problems, interfering with care for her child and activities of daily living. In a detailed neuropsychological examination in May 2006, she was oriented but had reduced processing speed and attention span, decreased working memory capacity, increased distractibility, and impairment of anterograde memory for figural more than for verbal contents, consistent with mild dementia. Figure 1: A-C shows a cranial MRI performed at that time. Further thorough diagnostic reevaluation demonstrated moderately elevated antinuclear antibody (titer, 1:320; reference range <1:160) and posi-
Cranial magnetic resonance imaging performed after the onset of cognitive impairments in July 2006 demonstrates multiple T2-hyperintense lesions typical of multiple sclerosis (A and B), with 1 left pontomesencephalic lesion showing contrast enhancement (C, arrowhead). Follow-up cranial and spinal T2-weighted magnetic resonance images obtained during a fulminant relapse in March 2012 show progressive generalized cerebral atrophy, large confluent periventricular lesions, a new right pontine lesion (D and E), and multiple new confluent lesions in the cervical cord (F), which were not visible in a prior spinal magnetic resonance image obtained in 2008 (G).
ylase, amphiphysin, CV2/CRMP5, myelin-oligodendrocyte glycoprotein, aquaporin 4, leucine-rich glioma-inactivated 1, contactin-associated protein-like 2, α-amino-3-hydroxyl-5-methyl-4-isoxazole-propionate receptor, γ-aminobutyric acid type B receptor, and glycin receptor could be found, anti-NMDARIgG antibodies (titer, 1:100) were detected in serum, but not in CSF, by indirect immunofluorescence using human embryonic kidney 293 cells transfected with the NR1 subunit of the NMDAR (EuroimmunAG). Subsequent reexamination of stored CSF and serum samples obtained in May 2006, when memory problems first became apparent, revealed anti-NMDARIgG antibodies in CSF (titer, 1:1), but not in serum, demonstrating an intrathecal synthesis of anti-NMDARIgG. Whole-body fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission computed tomography and pelvic MRI showed no neoplasia. A diagnosis of anti-NMDAR antibody encephalitis superimposed on MS was made and the patient was treated with 5 courses of plasma exchange, with no significant improvement (Mini-Mental State Examination score of 15 out of 30 in February 2012). Because of the increased risk for progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy after more than 2 years of natalizumab treatment and prior immunosuppressive therapy with mitoxantrone and tapered oral corticosteroids, which was associated with a certain stabilization and a reduction of anti-NMDAR IgG in CSF (titer, 1:10; October 2013). Still, her general condition deteriorated and she eventually died following urosepsis at the end of 2013. There was no postmortem examination. Figure 2 summarizes her clinical course and anti-NMDAR IgG antibody findings.

Written informed consent for this report was obtained from the patient’s family.

Discussion

The initial presentation of the patient described in this report appears typical of MS, and she fulfilled both current clinical and MRI diagnostic criteria for MS.2 However, her subsequent disease course was characterized by an unusually severe and progressive cognitive decline, with marked impairment of anterograde memory as the most prominent deficit. Detection of intrathecally produced NMDAR IgG, which is highly specific for anti-NMDAR encephalitis,3,4 at the time of symptom onset and during a relapse following natalizumab withdrawal suggests that the patient’s cognitive impairment and further neuropsychiatric symptoms were related to these antibodies. Indeed, persistent cognitive impairments, mainly affecting memory and executive functions, were observed in 8 of 9 patients who underwent comprehensive neuropsychological assessment at a median of 43 months after acute anti-NMDAR encephalitis.5

Titulaer et al6 described overlapping demyelinating syndromes in 23 patients with NMDAR encephalitis. While most of those patients (18 of 23) had antibodies to aquaporin 4 or...
myelin-oligodendrocyte glycoprotein, suggesting that demyelinating syndromes in patients with NMDAR encephalitides might be associated with the co-occurrence of these antibodies, our patient had no antibodies to aquaporin 4 or myelin-oligodendrocyte glycoprotein. Nevertheless, because in 5 of 23 cases no antibodies other than to NMDAR were detectable, it remains possible that NMDAR antibodies themselves might be associated with demyelination.6 Although we thus cannot exclude that our patient’s entire disease process was related to NMDAR antibodies, we feel that given the characteristic initial course and the MS-typical paraclinical findings, our patient had a true co-incidence of MS and NMDAR encephalitides.

In the only other patient with MS and anti-NMDAR encephalitis described so far, diagnosis was based on the detection of antibodies to the NMDAR NR2B subunit in CSF.7 Because anti-NMDAR antibodies in patients with anti-NMDAR encephalitis are directed against the NR1 subunit of the NMDAR and only rarely codeet NR2B,3 to our knowledge, we believe this to be the first report of a patient with MS with the characteristic laboratory finding of anti-NMDAR encephalitis.

A diagnostic reevaluation at the onset of cognitive symptoms also revealed Sjögren syndrome. A thorough rheumatologic diagnostic performed at that time excluded systemic manifestations of Sjögren syndrome. In particular, there was no evidence of cerebral or other organ vasculitis. Altogether, we feel that MS and Sjögren syndrome coexisted in our patient, as previously described in other cases,8 but consider it very unlikely that her disease was due to neurological manifestations of Sjögren syndrome rather than to MS.

The patient had a fulminant relapse after cessation of natalizumab, reminiscent of previous observations on rebound disease activity following natalizumab withdrawal.9 This relapse was associated with an increase of the anti-NMDAR IgG titer in CSF. CD138+ plasma cell levels in the CSF of natalizumab-treated patients with MS are significantly lower compared with patients with other neurological diseases and untreated patients with MS but increase after discontinuation of natalizumab.10 Therefore, it seems plausible that natalizumab withdrawal facilitated entry of NMDAR antibody-producing plasma cells to the central nervous system.

Conclusions

This report opens the possibility that severe cognitive impairment or other neuropsychiatric abnormalities in patients with MS may in some instances be related to superimposed antibody-mediated encephalitides. The diagnosis of those patients will require a high degree of clinical suspicion as cognitive symptoms are rather frequent in MS and may mask or be confounded with features of antibody-mediated encephalitides. Nevertheless, testing for antineuronal antibodies appears warranted in patients with MS with unusual neuropsychiatric symptoms. Although various immunotherapies could not reverse cognitive impairments in our patient, antibody-mediated encephalitides can respond to treatment, and immunotherapy should be considered in such cases.
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