Large, Nonplateauing Relationship Between Clinical Disability and Cerebral White Matter Lesion Load in Patients With Multiple Sclerosis
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Objective: To better characterize the relationship between cerebral white matter lesion load (CWM-LL) and clinical disability by (1) covering the entire range of the Kurtzke Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS), (2) minimizing nonbiological sources of variability, and (3) increasing pathologic specificity by studying CWM lesions that are hypointense on T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging.

Design: Cross-sectional, retrospective study.

Setting: Hospital-based multiple sclerosis (MS) clinic.

Patients: A total of 110 patients with untreated MS were recruited and studied from June 1, 1997, through June 30, 2003.

Main Outcome Measures: Cube-rooted CWM-LL and EDSS-measured clinical disability scores.

Results: We found a large, nonplateauing relationship between cube-rooted CWM-LL and concurrent EDSS scores, more so for T1-hypointense than T2-hyperintense lesions \((r=0.619 \text{ vs } 0.548)\). Correlations between the EDSS scores and CWM-LL diminished when, as typically done in clinical trials, only those patients with EDSS scores of 0 to 6.0 were studied \((n=92; r=0.523 \text{ for T1-hypointense lesions and } r=0.457 \text{ for T2-hyperintense lesions})\); more important, a series of boot-strapped correlations suggested that this decrease was not simply due to smaller sample size, and these relationships remained even after correcting for disease duration.

Conclusion: A large, nonplateauing relationship exists between CWM-LL and EDSS-measured clinical disability when patients with MS are studied to examine the entire range of disability, minimize nonbiological sources of variability, and increase pathologic specificity.
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Multiple sclerosis (MS) is an inflammatory, demyelinating, and degenerative autoimmune disorder of the central nervous system. Its pathological hallmark is the presence of multifocal, demyelinating, white matter lesions of the brain and spinal cord that are disseminated in space and time. All of these white matter lesions are focal regions of inflammation, demyelination, oligodendroglial loss, reactive gliosis, and axonal degeneration. Such lesions are readily visible in vivo as hyperintensities on T2-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and intermediately weighted proton-density MRI in both their early stages of development (ie, when inflammatory edema is most prominent) and their later stages of development (ie, when tissue injury and gliosis are more prominent). Lesions that are associated with greater tissue destruction also appear as chronic hypointensities on T1-weighted MRI. Depending on their location, such T2- and T1-weighted lesions can differentially result in many of the observed MS-related symptoms. Importantly, total cerebral white matter lesion load (CWM-LL) is used as an outcome measure in almost all clinical trials of patients with MS; thus, fully understanding the relationship between such MRI measures and clinical disability is of great importance.

Despite numerous criticisms, clinical disability in patients with MS is typically evaluated using the Kurtzke Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS), with scores ranging from 0 (normal neurologic examination results) to 10 (death due to MS). Recent reviews have suggested that correlations between EDSS-measured clinical disability and T2-weighted CWM-LL are variable, ranging from 0.09 to 0.60 (median, 0.33) (based on 16 comparisons, each including between 39 and 718 patients; median, 58 patients). (Note that, according to Cohen’s definitions of effect sizes, a...
correlation of 0.10 is considered small, 0.30 moderate, and 0.50 large.) Consistent with this are the results by Li et al.\textsuperscript{15} who found a Spearman rank-order $\rho$ value of 0.35 ($P < .001$) between T2-weighted CWM-LL and EDSS in 1312 patients with MS (and EDSS scores between 0 and 6.5) who had been placebo controls in 1 of 11 randomized controlled trials included in the Sylvia Lawry Centre for MS Research database. Importantly, they found EDSS scores to be positively related with T2-weighted CWM-LL only in patients with scores between 1.5 and 4.5, after which, they suggest, the relationship reaches a plateau. This plateauing relationship was recently reassessed by Sormani et al.,\textsuperscript{6} who studied the results of 877 patients with MS (also with EDSS scores between 0 and 6.5) who were placebo controls in 2 other recent clinical trials. They also found evidence of a moderate relationship between T2-weighted CWM-LL and EDSS (Pearson product-moment $r = 0.39, P < .001$) but did not, however, find statistical evidence of a plateauing relationship. Similarly, Fisniku et al.\textsuperscript{16} also did not detect a plateauing T2-weighted CWM-LL relationship with clinical disability for 20 years.

The study by Li et al.\textsuperscript{15} points out a number of sources of variability associated with multicenter clinical trials and even more so with studies that combine data from many such trials. First, the data included in their analysis came from 11 different clinical trials, each with potentially different entry criteria regarding the following: (1) EDSS-measured clinical disability, (2) disease duration and phase (ie, RR-MS or SP-MS), and (3) CWM-LL values; and (3) having only a small number of highly experienced neurologists from the same MS clinic assess patients' T2-weighted and T1-weighted CMW-LL values; and (3) having only a small number of highly experienced neurologists from the same MS clinic assess our patients' EDSS scores. More important, we did this for both patients with the full range of scores observed on the EDSS (the full EDSS group) and a subset of patients with a limited range of scores similar to that typically studied (ie, 0-6; the limited EDSS subgroup).

### METHODS

#### STUDY PARTICIPANTS

A total of 110 patients with clinically definite\textsuperscript{3} MS were recruited via the MS clinic at the Montreal Neurological Hospital and studied from June 1, 1997, through June 30, 2003: 82 with RR-MS (62 females) and 28 with SP-MS (15 females). All 110 patients were included in the full EDSS group. Ninety-two patients with EDSS scores of 0 through 6.0 were included in the limited EDSS subgroup: 79 with RR-MS (59 females) and 13 with SP-MS (6 females). All patients were untreated and relapse free at the time of study, and none had a history of immunomodulatory therapy before study. The ethics committee of the Montreal Neurological Hospital approved the study, and informed consent was obtained from all participants. Patients' EDSS scores were assigned by the same highly experienced neurologist who had been observing them within the MS clinic. For each patient, disease duration was calculated as follows: ([date of scan] – [date of symptom onset]) / 365.25.

#### BRAIN MRI

Brain MRIs were acquired on a 1.5-T Philips Gyroscan ACS II scanner (Philips Medical Systems) using a body-coil transmitter and a quadrature head-coil receiver. Fifty contiguous, 3-mm-thick, T2- and proton density–weighted images were acquired parallel to the callosal line using a dual-turbo spin-echo sequence (repetition time, 2073 milliseconds; echo times, 31.6 and 90 milliseconds; 256 × 256 matrix; and a 250-mm field of view). T1-weighted images were acquired with the same ma-
trix using a 3-dimensional gradient-echo sequence (repetition time, 35 milliseconds; echo time, 10.2 milliseconds; and a 40° excitation angle). Each MRI volume was corrected for image-intensity inhomogeneity using N3,18 and the T2- and proton density–weighted volumes were registered to the T1-weighted volume using a mutual information-based approach.19

DETERMINATION OF T2- AND T1-WEIGHTED CWM-LL VALUES

As shown in Figure 1, T2- and T1-weighted CWM lesions were segmented using a manually corrected, automatic, Bayesian, tissue classification approach20 on the basis of these segmentations, T2- and T1-weighted CWM-LL values were generated automatically for each patient. (A detailed report of the extremely high intrarater and interrater reliability associated with these T2- and T1-weighted CWM-LL values is available in the “Methods” section of the online Supplementary Material available at http://www.bic.mni.mcgill.ca/PersonalCaramanosZografos/SupplementaryMaterial.)

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

As in the studies by Li et al15 and Sormani et al,6 cube-rooted transformations were used to eliminate the skew that is typically associated with T2- and T1-weighted CWM-LL data, thereby yielding a more suitable variable for parametric analyses. Scores on the EDSS were treated both as ordinal data, which were tested with nonparametric statistics and are appropriate given the nonlinear nature of the scale,5,21 and continuous data, which were tested with parametric statistics, which are typically used in studies of patients with MS (and as was done in the studies by Li et al15 and Sormani et al). Differences in group means (ie, RR-MS vs SP-MS) were evaluated using 1-way analysis of variance, which also generate $r^2$ values that indicate how much of the variability in the dependent variable is explained by the grouping variable. Group dif-
Figure 2. Demographic, clinical, and cube-rooted T2-weighted cerebral white matter lesion load (cr-T2-LL) and cube-rooted T1-weighted cerebral white matter lesion load (cr-T1-LL) data for patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (RR-MS) or secondary-progressive multiple sclerosis (SP-MS). Box-and-whisker plots (in black), which show the median and quartile values for each group, are superimposed with dot-density plots (in blue), which show each individual's data; red squares and error bars indicate a group's mean and 95% CI. Descriptive statistics and findings from the associated 1-way analysis of variance are also shown. The Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) scores were also significantly higher in the SP-MS group when tested nonparametrically (limited EDSS group: Mann-Whitney = 62, \( \chi^2 = 25.96, P < .001 \); full EDSS group: Mann-Whitney = 111, \( \chi^2 = 51.05, P < .001 \)).

SPSS statistical software, version 12.0, for Windows (SPSS, Inc) was used to generate the partial correlations. SYSTAT 10.2 for Windows (Systat Software) was used for all other statistical and graphic analyses.

GROUP DIFFERENCES

Demographic, clinical, and cube-rooted CWM-LL data are summarized in Figure 2. Compared with the patients with RR-MS, those with SP-MS had statistically greater mean ages, mean symptom durations, mean EDSS scores, mean cube-rooted T2-weighted CWM-LL values, and mean cube-rooted T1-weighted CWM-LL values. Importantly, the patients’ MS subgroup (ie, RR-MS vs SP-MS) was consistently able to account for more of the variance in the full EDSS group’s data than the limited EDSS subgroup’s data, sug-

For the reader to better judge the statistical significance and clinical meaningfulness of our results, we present all of the observed test statistics, all the uncorrected \( P \) values, and any associated degrees of freedom. \( P < .05 \) was nominally considered to be statistically significant; however, all statistically significant findings in the full EDSS group were associated with \( P < .001 \).
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Figure 3. Bivariate relationships with Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) for the patients’ demographic, clinical, and cube-rooted T2-weighted cerebral white matter lesion load (cr-T2-LL) and cube-rooted T1-weighted cerebral white matter lesion load (cr-T1-LL) data. Scatterplots show data from patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (RR-MS) in red and data from patients with secondary-progressive multiple sclerosis (SP-MS) in blue. Superimposed are the 95% CIs (broken lines) of the associated linear smoothers, as well as LOWESS (LOcally WEighted Scatterplot Smoothing) smoothers (solid lines) that serve to account for their associated $P$ values, shown for each comparison. The mean (range) of 100 boot-strapped product moment correlations (bs-$r$), each of which was based on a random sample of 92 patients from the full EDSS group, and partial correlations (p-$r$) with scores on the EDSS after controlling for symptom duration are also shown where appropriate.

The $r$ and $\rho$ values were consistently and statistically significant for all comparisons, suggesting that EDSS had a moderate correlation with age, somewhat larger correlation with symptom duration, even larger correlation with cube-rooted T2-weighted CWM-LL, and larger still correlation with cube-rooted T1-weighted CWM-LL.

Importantly, the correlations in the full EDSS group were consistently larger than those in the limited EDSS subgroup, but, as indicated by the mean boot-strapped $r$ values in the full EDSS group being practically the same as their $r$ values, this seemed to be due to the greater range of EDSS scores rather than simply the larger sample size. These findings suggest that sampling the entire range of the EDSS can lead to a better capture and description of these measures’ relationships to MS-related clinical disability.

Interestingly, the correlation of the patients’ EDSS scores and ages was no longer statistically significant after partialing out the effect of symptom duration. On the other hand, statistically significant correlations with the cube-rooted CWM-LL values were maintained even after controlling for symptom duration, remaining larger with cube-rooted T1-weighted CWM-LL than with cube-
rooted T2-weighted CWM-LL and, thereby, further suggesting that T1-weighted CWM-LL values are more clinically relevant than T2-weighted CWM-LL values in patients with MS.

With respect to the plateauing relationship between CWM-LL and EDSS-measured clinical disability scores, inspection of the LOWESS smoother in the limited EDSS subgroup suggests a possible plateau after an EDSS score of approximately 4.0 is reached. Importantly, however, inspection of the data from the full EDSS group confirms that a positive relationship persists throughout the middle and upper range of the EDSS for both cube-rooted T2-weighted CWM-LL and cube-rooted T1-weighted CWM-LL (this is true even despite a small number of cases with cube-rooted CWM-LL values that were much lower than might have been expected given their high scores on the EDSS). Together, these findings suggest that increases in EDSS scores are positively related to increases in CWM-LL values, more so to increases in T1-weighted CWM-LL values than to T2-weighted CWM-LL values, and this relationship does not seem to plateau if the entire range of possible scores on the EDSS is examined. (For results and discussion of the bivariate relationships among the demographic, clinical, and cube-rooted CWM-LL data from these patients, which further suggest that symptom duration and cube-rooted T1-weighted CWM-LL are more clinically relevant in patients with MS than age and cube-rooted T2-weighted CWM-LL, please refer to the “Results” section of the online Supplementary Material.)

**COMMENT**

We found evidence of a large, nonplateauing relationship between our patients’ MRI-measured CWM-LL values and their EDSS-measured clinical disability scores, even after controlling for disease duration. Importantly, this relationship was largest when the entire range of the EDSS was sampled and when it was determined with respect to the patients’ more pathologically specific T1-weighted CWM-LL values.

Thus, similar to the findings of Sormani et al, our findings do not agree with those of Li et al regarding a plateauing relationship with cube-rooted T2-weighted CWM-LL in patients with EDSS scores of 4.5 or more. Indeed, despite the conclusions of Li et al, CWM-LL seems to continue to be a measure of disease evolution in even the more advanced, clinically disabling phases of MS. Importantly, the conclusions of Li et al were based on an estimated, additive, non-linear effects analysis that found increases of only 0.6% in shared variance (with an associated P value of only .02).

Our results suggest that this nonplateauing relationship is maintained despite a small number of patients whose EDSS scores were much greater than expected given their relatively low CWM-LL values, a situation that might reflect the effect of central nervous system disease that could not be detected by our approach (eg, lesions located within the cerebral cortex, the optic nerve, or the spinal cord) and/or the effect of CWM lesions whose anatomical locations result in greater EDSS-measured clinical disability.

The latter notion is supported, for example, by findings that EDSS scores in patients with RR-MS show a larger correlation with T2-weighted CWM-LL values within and around the corticospinal tracts than with their total T2-weighted CWM-LL values.

Despite not finding a plateauing relationship between EDSS and CWM-LL, we found some initial evidence to suggest that this relationship is relatively flat in patients with low scores on the EDSS (ie, ≤2.0). Interestingly, the degree of CWM-LL in these patients with low EDSS scores seemed to be greater than would be expected given a linear relationship across the entire range of the EDSS scale, results that are similar to those shown but not commented on in the studies by Li et al and Sormani et al. Together, these findings, which need to be confirmed in a larger sample, suggest that patients with MS might be able to compensate for the presence of CWM disease until a certain threshold is reached, which is consistent with functional MRI evidence for adaptive brain changes in the initial stages of MS. On the other hand, this flat relationship with EDSS scores of 2.0 or less might also reflect the poor responsiveness of the EDSS, particularly with respect to the lower end of the EDSS.

In the present study, we aimed to better examine the relationship between EDSS-measured clinical disability and MRI-measured CWM-LL in a large group of representative patients with untreated MS. We tried to minimize nonbiological sources of variability, increase statistical power and generalizability by examining this relationship across the entire range of the EDSS, and increase pathological specificity by examining the relationship of EDSS to T1-weighted CWM-LL. (For a discussion of the importance and implications of this approach, please refer to the “Comment” section of the online Supplementary Material.) Despite the large correlations resulting from these optimized circumstances, we were, at best, able to account for only approximately 38% of the variance in our patients’ EDSS-measured clinical disability using their conventional MRI-measured CWM-LL. It is our belief that the amount of the variance accounted for could be increased by combining such CWM-LL data with findings regarding extracerebral lesions (eg, from the spinal cord and optic nerves) and non-lesional central nervous system disease measured using nonconventional MRI techniques. Ideally, we hope that such data could be combined to predict not only patients’ concurrent degree of clinical disability but also, and more important, their future clinical course and their likely response to a particular given treatment.
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