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**IMPORTANCE** Predicting disease evolution is becoming essential for optimizing treatment decision making in multiple sclerosis (MS). Multiple sclerosis pathologic damage typically includes demyelination, neuro-axonal loss, and astrogliosis.

**OBJECTIVE** To evaluate the potential of magnetic resonance markers of central nervous system injury to predict brain-volume loss and clinical disability in multiple sclerosis.

**DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS** Participants were selected from the Multiple Sclerosis Center at the University of California–San Francisco. The preliminary data set included 59 patients with MS and 43 healthy control individuals. The confirmatory data set included 220 patients from an independent, large genotype-phenotype research project.

**MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES** Baseline N-acetylaspartate (NAA) level, myo-inositol (mI) in normal-appearing white and gray matter, myelin water fraction in normal-appearing white matter, markers of axonal damage, astrogliosis, and demyelination were evaluated as predictors in a preliminary data set. Potential predictors were subsequently tested for replication in a confirmatory data set. Clinical scores and percentage of brain-volume change were obtained annually over 4 years as outcomes. Predictors of outcomes were assessed using linear models, linear mixed-effects models, and logistic regression.

**RESULTS** N-acetylaspartate and mI both had statistically significant effects on brain volume, prompting the use of the mI:NAA ratio in normal-appearing white matter as a predictor. The ratio was a predictor of brain-volume change in both cohorts (annual slope in the percentage of brain-volume change/unit of increase in the ratio: −1.68; 95% CI, −3.05 to −0.30; P = .02 in the preliminary study cohort and −1.08; 95% CI, −1.95 to −0.20; P = .02 in the confirmatory study cohort). Furthermore, the mI:NAA ratio predicted clinical disability (Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite evolution: −0.52 points annually, P < .001; Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite sustained progression: odds ratio, 2.76/SD increase in the ratio; 95% CI, 1.32 to 6.47; P = .01) in the preliminary data set and predicted Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite evolution (−0.23 points annually, P = .01), Expanded Disability Status Scale evolution (0.57 points annually, P = .04), and Expanded Disability Status Scale sustained progression (odds ratio, 1.46; 95% CI, 1.10 to 1.94; P = .009) in the confirmatory data set. Myelin water fraction did not show predictive value.

**CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE** The mI:NAA ratio in normal-appearing white matter has consistent predictive power on brain atrophy and neurological disability evolution. The combined presence of astrogliosis and axonal damage in white matter has cardinal importance in disease severity.
he mechanisms underlying disease evolution in multiple sclerosis (MS) are not fully known. Current predictors based on clinical or conventional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) data are known to relate to long-term disability but have limited specificity in characterizing and quantifying the heterogeneous pathological features of MS. The study of myelin destruction and repair, axonal injury, and astrogliosis—major pathological events in MS—by means of nonconventional MRI techniques could help in achieving that goal.

Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) has contributed to understanding the pathogenesis and natural history of MS. Metabolic abnormalities in patients with MS are not restricted to lesion sites but are more diffuse in nature. N-acetylaspartate (NAA) is an amino acid found in neurons and axons and is used as a marker of neuronal/axonal integrity and function. N-acetylaspartate is depleted in patients with MS,11,12 precedes brain atrophy,13 and moderately correlates with subsequent development of physical disability. Myo-inositol (mI) originates from intracellular astrocyte stores. It is elevated in patients with MS, reflecting astroglial hypertrophy or hyperplasia, even in early stages of the disease and precedes the decrease of NAA and brain volume. Moreover, a relatively new MRI technique allows the estimation of myelin water content derived from the quantification of short T2 relaxometry component. The measure is specific to myelin content and/or its integrity. The myelin water fraction (MWF) is commonly reduced in normal-appearing white matter (NAWM), which may reflect active or chronic demyelination.

The aim of the present study was to conduct a rigorous analysis of spectroscopy and relaxometry markers of axonal integrity, astrogliosis, and demyelination in vivo with respect to predicting long-term clinical disability and brain-volume loss. After performing an initial analysis in a preliminary group of patients with MS (preliminary data set), results were tested for replication in a larger representative MS group (confirmatory data set).

**Methods**

**Preliminary Data Set**

**Study Population**

Fifty-nine patients with MS and 43 control participants were included in a case-control longitudinal study. The MS cases, fulfilling 2001 McDonald criteria, were prospectively selected from the University of California–San Francisco Multiple Sclerosis Center. At baseline (assessment of predictors), only the use of interferon-β and copolymer-1 treatment for MS was allowed. The mean (SD) study follow-up time was 3.5 (1.2) years and 80% of the MS cases (47 of 59) completed 4 years of the study. All participants gave written informed consent to enter the study, which was approved by the University of California–San Francisco ethics committee. Demographic and clinical data are available in Table 1.

**Predictors**

Predictors were derived from a 3-dimensional short-echo proton MRS imaging (3D 1H MRSI) sequence and a multislice multi-echo T2 relaxometry sequence using a single 3-T GE Signa scanner (GE Healthcare) with an 8-channel phased-array coil. Spectroscopic signals were acquired from a supratentorial point-resolved spectroscopy box covering 4 slices centered over the corpus callosum, using a conventional phase encoding, with a repetition time (TR) and echo time (TE) of 1000 and 40 milliseconds, respectively. Metabolite (NAA and mI) contributions within each voxel were estimated by adjusting short echo time signals to a model function created from a prior estimate of the water content.

**Table 1. Patients’ Baseline Demographic and Clinical Characteristics From the Preliminary and Confirmatory Studies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Preliminary</th>
<th>Control Participants</th>
<th>All Patients With MS</th>
<th>CIS (n = 33)</th>
<th>RRMS (n = 164)</th>
<th>SPMS (n = 17)</th>
<th>PPMS (n = 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female, No. (%)</td>
<td>42 (71.2) 27 (62.8)</td>
<td>156 (70.9) 20 (60.6)</td>
<td>122 (74.4) 11 (64.7)</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
<td>42.4 (9.5) 40.7 (9.6)</td>
<td>41.8 (9.2) 40.6 (9.2)</td>
<td>46.3 (10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>43.2 (9.4) 38.9 (10.1)</td>
<td>10.3 (9.7) NA</td>
<td>9.7 (8.2) 3.5 (3.2)</td>
<td>10.2 (8.0) 18.2 (8.7)</td>
<td>8.1 (4.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients taking DMT, No. (%)</td>
<td>29 (49)</td>
<td>146 (66.4) 12 (36.4)</td>
<td>118 (72.0) 13 (76.5)</td>
<td>3 (50.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDSS score, median (range)</td>
<td>1.5 (0-4.5)</td>
<td>2.0 (0-7.0)</td>
<td>2.0 (0-6.0)</td>
<td>5.5 (2.5-7.0)</td>
<td>4.0 (3.0-5.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSFC z score</td>
<td>0.19 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.67)</td>
<td>0.43 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.53)</td>
<td>−0.86 (1.2)</td>
<td>−0.71 (1.02)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nBPV, cm³</td>
<td>1603.2 (79.5) 1651.4 (66.1)</td>
<td>1599.7 (89.9) 1638.4 (57.2)</td>
<td>1601.1 (89.3) 1507.6 (88.7)</td>
<td>1607 (96.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nWMV, cm³</td>
<td>610.4 (38.9) 630.2 (36.9)</td>
<td>606.8 (48.2) 630.4 (38.8)</td>
<td>604.1 (47.7) 575.6 (46.9)</td>
<td>639.2 (47.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nGMV, cm³</td>
<td>987.1 (65.5) 1021.2 (54.1)</td>
<td>987.3 (80.3) 1006.0 (46.7)</td>
<td>991.9 (83.4) 915.1 (65.9)</td>
<td>965.7 (82.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nLV, cm³</td>
<td>5.70 (6.99)</td>
<td>5.54 (10.9)</td>
<td>1.96 (2.32)</td>
<td>5.2 (5.8)</td>
<td>16.9 (33.1)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CIS, clinically isolated syndrome; DMT, disease-modifying therapy; EDSS, Expanded Disability Status Scale; MS, multiple sclerosis; MSFC, Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite; NA, not applicable; nBPV, normalized brain parenchymal volume; nGMV, normalized gray matter volume; nLV, normalized lesion volume; nWMV, normalized white matter volume; RRMS, relapsing-remitting MS; PPMS, primary progressive MS; SPMS, secondary progressive MS.
knowledge basis set of metabolite signals.\textsuperscript{21} The percentage gray matter (GM) and WM content within each spectroscopic voxel was calculated\textsuperscript{22} and for patients, the voxels containing lesions on the inversion recovery–spoiled gradient echo sequence were removed from the analysis. Moreover, the spectroscopic voxels were included in a linear fit only if their concentration estimates had estimated Cramer-Rao bounds within threshold values (10\% for NAA and 30\% for ml) (eAppendix 1 in Supplement).

Myelin water fractions from NAWM were extracted from a 16-slice T2 prep spiral sequence (TR = 2000 milliseconds; TEs = 7, 17, 28, 38, 49, 60, 70, 92, 124, 177, 220, and 294 milliseconds; in-plane resolution of 2 × 2 mm\(^2\); 165-mm-thick slices; number of excitations = 6) that acquires nonlinearly spaced 12-echo data sets.\textsuperscript{23} Lesion and WM masks were regridded to the MWF map derived from the 12-echo data, which was fit to a distribution of T2 values using a nonnegative least square algorithm. The MWF maps (defined as ratio between peak area for T2 component <50 milliseconds and total water) were created to yield the percentage content within each voxel. The MWF median value was calculated from the NAWM mask for each patient at baseline and used as a predictor.

**Confirmatory Data Set**

**Study Population**

An independent group of patients with MS from the University of California–San Francisco Multiple Sclerosis Center were prospectively recruited from a large genotype-phenotype research project and included here to confirm the results obtained in the preliminary study. A total of 220 patients with MS meeting the 2005 revised McDonald criteria\textsuperscript{24} were included, with a mean (SD) follow-up time of 3.6 (0.9) years. The use of MS therapies was permitted. Eighty-eight percent of the patients (193 of 220) completed 3 years and 68\% (150 of 220) completed 4 years of follow up. Demographic and clinical data are provided in Table 1.

**Predictors**

Four predictors from the preliminary data set analysis were retained (MWF was not used). N-acetylaspartate and ml from NAWM and GM were derived from a 2-dimensional TE-averaged spectroscopic imaging technique (TE-Averaged-CSI).\textsuperscript{25} The spatial data were acquired with a nominal in-plane spatial resolution of 1.2 × 1.0 cm with a volume selection box placed in the supratentorial brain, covering a single 1.5-cm-thick slice, just above the corpus callosum body (TR = 1 second; 64 TE steps starting at 35 milliseconds with a TE increment of 2.5 milliseconds). The resulting 8-coil combination data were TE averaged. Then NAA and ml values were quantified using the LCModel software in millimoles per liter and corrected for T1 and T2 metabolite relaxation times.\textsuperscript{26} After obtaining the percentage GM and WM content within each voxel the same way as for the preliminary data set, pure GM and NAWM metabolite concentrations were extrapolated\textsuperscript{27} by modeling the metabolite concentrations as a linear function of WM content. The same estimated Cramer-Rao lower bound threshold as for the preliminary data set was used.

**Study Outcome Measures for Preliminary and Confirmatory Data Sets**

All outcome metrics were collected similarly for both data sets. Brain atrophy progression for each pair of scans (baseline-year 1, year 1-year 2, etc) over the observation period was measured by estimated brain-volume change using SIENA (Image Analysis Group). Clinical outcomes were measured longitudinally using the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) and the Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite (MSFC) scores over the observation period.

**Brain-Volume Changes**

Structural MRIs for both studies were acquired on the same 3-T GE scanner. The main MRI outcome was the slope in the percentage brain-volume change (PBVC) over the study period based on annual brain images acquired using a 3-dimensional inversion recovery–spoiled gradient echo (TR = 7 milliseconds; TE = 2 milliseconds; inversion time = 400 milliseconds; 15\° flip angle; matrix: 256 × 256 × 180; field of view: 240 × 240 × 180 mm\(^3\); 180 1-mm slices).

**Clinical Measures**

Annual neurological evaluations included standardized MS clinical metrics (EDSS and MSFC). All examiners were blinded to radiological predictors and outcomes. Patients with a baseline EDSS score of 5.5 or less were defined to have a sustained progression in their EDSS if an increase of 1.0 or more points was observed for at least 2 consecutive measures (sustained EDSS progression for 12 months); for patients with a baseline EDSS score of 6.0 or more, progression was defined as an increase of 0.5 or more points over 2 consecutive measures. Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite standardized scores (z scores) were derived by the methods previously described by the National Multiple Sclerosis Society’s Clinical Assessment Task Force\textsuperscript{28} and calculated from a reference population published previously.\textsuperscript{27} Sustained progression in MSFC z score was defined as having a score that worsened by 20\% or more from the baseline value over 2 consecutive points. The clinical outcomes of the study were the longitudinal change in EDSS score and MSFC z scores and the binary summary–sustained EDSS score or MSFC progression over 12 months.

**Imaging Covariates**

Experienced neurologists created T1-lesion masks using semi-automated thresholding and manual editing methods from the inversion recovery–spoiled gradient echo images. Subsequent brain segmentation and normalization were performed using SIENAX (Image Analysis Group), which was fully automated once the T1-lesion mask had been used to avoid pixel misclassifications. The final normalized brain parenchymal volume (nBPV) and normalized lesion volume (nLV) metrics were used as covariates in statistical modeling.

**Statistical Analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using R (http://www .r-project.org/). Cross-sectional comparisons of metabolites and MWF between patients with MS and control participants were performed using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests. Linear mixed-
effects models were used to longitudinally model all outcomes (disability scores and brain-volume changes). The linear mixed-effects models were fitted using restricted maximum likelihood26 with disability score or brain-volume change at each point as the dependent variable. Additional covariates were time from baseline examination, baseline nLV, baseline nBPV, and baseline disease duration. All baseline covariates were included with corresponding interactions with time. Random effects for both intercept and slope were included in the model.

All fitted linear mixed-effects models used an unstructured covariance matrix for the random effects with independent and identical distributed normal errors, except for the brain-volume change outcome for which we adopted a model29 accommodating the inherent correlation between subsequent pairs of change scores. This change model implements random intercept and slope but with the fixed part of the intercept set at zero (in the smaller preliminary data set, a random intercept and slope were not estimable, in which case we used a random intercept only). In contrast to the specification in the study by Frost et al.,29 we only modeled PBVC measurements between subsequent pairs of times (baseline-year 1, year 1-year 2, year 2-year 3, and year 3-year 4) to create a slope for each patient rather than changes between all time pairs. We did this to account for the nonadditivity of percentage changes while still working on a scale of percentage change.

Mixed-effects models were initially fit with single predictors. Subsequently, models with multiple predictors and interaction models were fitted to determine additive value of several predictors. Finally, additional covariates—disease duration, treatment status (ever or never taking therapy during the observation period), nLV, and nBPV—were added to each of the final statistical models.

Logistic regression analysis allowing for overdispersion was used to determine the influence of metabolite levels on the risk for EDSS score and MSFC z score sustained progression. All results are reported based on a significance level of α = 0.05.

### Results

#### Preliminary Study

**Predictors**

All metabolites and MWF measures are reflected in Table 2. Statistically significant differences were found between patients with MS and healthy control participants for all predictors except for NAA concentration levels in GM. The mI:NAA ratio in NAWM provided the largest percentage difference (31%; *P* < .001).

**Prediction of Brain Atrophy Evolution**

Overall, the mean (SD) PBVC from baseline to year 4 was −1.63% (1.1%). In the single-predictor analyses, we did not find any statistically significant associations between metabolite levels or MWF and PBVC evolution (Table 3). However, in a multiple-predictor analysis that included mI, NAA, and their interaction as predictors, there was a statistically significant positive interaction between mI and NAA in NAWM. This positive interaction indicates that NAA levels may modify, in this case reducing, the influence of mI on volume loss (estimated interaction of +0.018 annualized change in slope of PBVC for each simultaneous unit increase in NAA and mI; 95% CI, 0.006-0.031; *P* = .003). A statistically significant interaction in the same direction as for NAWM was also observed in GM (+0.008 annualized change in slope; 95% CI, 0.003-0.014; *P* = .003). These statistically significant interactions between mI and NAA along with biological plausibility (increased gliosis and reduced axonal integrity) prompted consideration of the mI:NAA ratio as a predictor. Higher baseline mI:NAA ratio in NAWM predicted increased longitudinal brain-volume loss. Specifically, for each unit of increase in the mI:NAA ratio in NAWM, we estimated a corresponding annual slope of PBVC of −1.68 (95% CI, −3.05 to −0.30; *P* = .02; Table 3).

**Prediction of Change in Disability**

The median EDSS score increase over the study was only 0.5 points (individual patient changes range, −1.5 to 4.5). Forty-eight percent (24 of 50) of the patients presented 12-month EDSS score sustained progression and 20% (10 of 49) showed MSFC z score progression. No predictors had a statistically significant influence on EDSS score evolution. However, mI (<−0.043 MSFC z score point annually for each increase in 1 mM; 95% CI, −0.08 to −0.009; *P* = .02) and mI:NAA ratio (<−0.522 MSFC z score point annually; 95% CI, −0.82 to −0.23; *P* < .001) in NAWM were statistically significant predictors of longitudinal MSFC z score decline (Table 3).

Moreover, the mI:NAA ratio in NAWM was a significant predictor of MSFC z score sustained progression over 12 months (estimated odds ratio [OR]/SD increase in the ratio, 2.76; 95% CI, 1.32-6.47; *P* = .01) but not of EDSS score sustained progression (OR, 1.04; 95% CI, 0.60-1.79; *P* = .87).

### Table 2. Summary of Imaging Parameters Used as Predictors in the Preliminary Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Patients With MS (n = 43)</th>
<th>Control Participants (n = 43)</th>
<th>Patients With MS vs Control Participants, %</th>
<th>P Valueb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAA in NAWM, mM/L</td>
<td>7.72 (1.42)</td>
<td>8.43 (1.19)</td>
<td>↓ 8.4</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAA in GM, mM/L</td>
<td>9.44 (2.0)</td>
<td>9.18 (1.54)</td>
<td>↑ 2.8</td>
<td>.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mI in NAWM, mM/L</td>
<td>2.57 (0.52)</td>
<td>2.22 (0.45)</td>
<td>↑ 15.8</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mI in GM, mM/L</td>
<td>4.03 (0.95)</td>
<td>3.39 (0.78)</td>
<td>↑ 18.9</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mI:NAA ratio in NAWM</td>
<td>0.34 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.06)</td>
<td>↑ 30.8</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mI:NAA ratio in GM</td>
<td>0.44 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.08)</td>
<td>↑ 18.9</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWF, %</td>
<td>10.52 (1.12)</td>
<td>11.18 (0.92)</td>
<td>↓ 5.9</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: GM, gray matter; mI, myo-inositol; MS, multiple sclerosis; MWF, myelin water fraction; NAA, N-acetylaspartate; NAWM, normal-appearing white matter; ↑, increase relative to control participants; ↓, decrease relative to control participants.

a For healthy control participants, metabolite concentrations are extracted from WM and GM regions.

b P values are derived from the Wilcoxon rank-sum test.
When the additional covariates (ie, disease duration, nBPV, nLV, and treatment status) were added into the models, the pattern of results was unchanged. Myelin water fraction did not show any predictive value on the evolution of brain atrophy or disability.

### Confirmatory Study

#### Predictors

Imaging parameters used in the confirmatory study are summarized in Table 4. Our main goal in this part of the study was to confirm our preliminary findings and prioritize the assessment of the mI:NAA ratio in NAWM.

#### Prediction of Brain Atrophy Evolution

The overall mean (SD) PBVC from baseline to year 4 was −2.02% (1.15%). Similar to the preliminary study, higher mI:NAA ratio in NAWM predicted larger brain-volume loss (−1.08 annual slope; 95% CI, −1.95 to −0.20; P = .02) (Table 5).

#### Prediction of Change in Disability

The median EDSS score change was 0.0 points (range, −3.0 to 3.0) at the end of the study. For each unit increase of the mI:NAA ratio in NAWM, there was an estimated corresponding annual mean EDSS score increase (0.57 points annually; 95% CI, 0.015-1.13; P = .04) over the subsequent 4 years. Longitudinal

---

### Table 3. Effect of Imaging Predictors on Disease Progression (Annual Slopes) From the Preliminary Study’s 59 Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PBVC (%/y)</td>
<td></td>
<td>EDDS Score (Points/y)</td>
<td></td>
<td>MSFC Z Score (Points/y)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAA in NAWM</td>
<td>0.03 (−0.03 to 0.09)</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>−0.007 (−0.06 to 0.05)</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>−0.0004 (−0.014 to 0.014)</td>
<td>.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAA in GM</td>
<td>0.03 (−0.02 to 0.08)</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>−0.01 (−0.06 to 0.03)</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>−0.007 (−0.02 to 0.005)</td>
<td>.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mI in NAWM</td>
<td>−0.1 (−0.14 to 0.03)</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>0.008 (−0.14 to 0.15)</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>−0.04 (−0.08 to −0.009)</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mI in GM</td>
<td>−0.004 (−0.09 to 0.09)</td>
<td>.94</td>
<td>−0.02 (−0.11 to 0.07)</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td>−0.02 (−0.04 to 0.004)</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mI:NAA ratio in NAWM</td>
<td>−1.68 (−3.05 to −0.30)</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>0.29 (−0.93 to 1.52)</td>
<td>.64</td>
<td>−0.52 (−0.82 to −0.23)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mI:NAA ratio in GM</td>
<td>−0.78 (−1.69 to 0.14)</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>−0.02 (−0.81 to 0.76)</td>
<td>.97</td>
<td>−0.06 (−0.27 to 0.14)</td>
<td>.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWF in NAWM</td>
<td>−0.03 (−0.1 to 0.03)</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>−0.02 (−0.1 to 0.05)</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>0.003 (−0.02 to 0.02)</td>
<td>.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: EDDS, Expanded Disability Status Scale; GM, gray matter; mI, myo-inositol; MSFC, Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite; MWF, myelin water fraction; NAA, N-acetylaspartate; NAWM, normal-appearing white matter; PBVC, percentage of brain-volume change.

### Table 4. Imaging Parameters From the Confirmatory Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All MS (n = 220)</td>
<td>CIS (n = 33)</td>
<td>RRMS (n = 164)</td>
<td>SPMS (n = 17)</td>
<td>PPMS (n = 6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAA in NAWM, mM/L</td>
<td>9.8 (1.35)</td>
<td>10.1 (1.22)</td>
<td>9.84 (1.36)</td>
<td>9.42 (1.24)</td>
<td>9.03 (1.44)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAA in GM, mM/L</td>
<td>8.76 (1.86)</td>
<td>8.93 (1.46)</td>
<td>8.79 (1.66)</td>
<td>8.07 (1.30)</td>
<td>8.53 (1.93)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mI in NAWM, mM/L</td>
<td>3.92 (0.69)</td>
<td>3.93 (0.59)</td>
<td>3.90 (0.72)</td>
<td>3.93 (0.64)</td>
<td>3.92 (0.69)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mI in GM, mM/L</td>
<td>4.74 (1.05)</td>
<td>4.99 (1.45)</td>
<td>4.70 (0.99)</td>
<td>4.52 (0.72)</td>
<td>5.00 (0.99)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mI:NAA ratio in NAWM</td>
<td>0.40 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.42 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mI:NAA ratio in GM</td>
<td>0.54 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.12)</td>
<td>0.54 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.08)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CIS, clinically isolated syndrome; GM, gray matter; mI, myo-inositol; MS, multiple sclerosis; NAA, N-acetylaspartate; NAWM, normal-appearing white matter; PBVC, percentage of brain-volume change.

### Table 5. Confirmatory Effect of the mI:NAA Ratio in NAWM Tested as Predictor of Disease Progression (Annual Slopes) From the Confirmatory Study With 220 Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PBVC (%/y)</td>
<td></td>
<td>EDDS Score (Points/y)</td>
<td></td>
<td>MSFC Z Score (Points/y)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mI:NAA ratio in NAWM</td>
<td>−1.08 (−1.95 to −0.20)</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>0.57 (0.013 to 1.13)</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>−0.23 (−0.41 to −0.05)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: EDDS, Expanded Disability Status Scale; mI, myo-inositol; MS, multiple sclerosis; NAA, N-acetylaspartate; NAWM, normal-appearing white matter; PBVC, percentage of brain-volume change.

* Mean and 95% CIs of longitudinal effect of metabolite predictors on longitudinal change in PBVC, EDDS score, and MSFC z-score expressed in annual slope per year are shown (annual change on the outcome for each increase of 1 point in the predictor) based on single-predictor models analysis (longitudinal mixed-effects models). The PBVC results are described in terms of annualized percentage (%) change, whereas EDDS score and MSFC z-score are given as annualized change in points score. The results are estimates from linear mixed-effects models.

* Statistically significant results at α = 0.05.
changes in MSFC \( z \) scores were also predicted by baseline mI:NAA ratio in NAWM (\(-0.23\) points annually; 95% CI, \(-0.41\) to \(-0.05\); \(P = .01\)) (Table 5). Twenty-seven percent of patients (55 of 204) experienced 12-month sustained EDSS score progression, and 16% (31 of 191) sustained MSFC \( z \) score progression. Twelve-month sustained EDSS score progression was significantly predicted by the mI:NAA ratio in NAWM (OR/SD increase, 1.46; 95% CI, \(1.10\)–1.94; \(P = .009\)) but not by the mI:NAA ratio in GM (OR, \(-0.25\); 95% CI, \(-0.64\) to 0.15; \(P = .22\)). However, contrary to the preliminary data set, we did not observe a significant effect of the mI:NAA ratio in NAWM on the 12-month MSFC \( z \) score sustained progression (OR, 1.19; 95% CI, 0.84-1.66; \(P = .32\)).

When the additional covariates were added into the models, the pattern of results on brain atrophy and disability was unchanged.

Lastly, as the confirmatory data set had a larger number of patients, other imaging predictors and potential correlations between variables were explored and presented in eAppendix 2 and the eTable in the Supplement.

Discussion

The main focus of our study was to investigate longitudinally the predictive value of pathologically specific MR metrics on MS disease progression and to replicate our findings using an independent data set. Our observations provided evidence that the relationship between axonal damage and astrogliosis from MS WM areas is a key element in the development of clinical disability and brain-volume loss in MS. More specifically, we reported that the mI:NAA metabolite ratio in NAWM is a predictor of MS progression.

Patients with MS were first recruited in a preliminary study. Percentage brain-volume change from SIENA\(^{30}\) served in this long-term study as the MRI correlate of brain-tissue loss. Our multiple-predictor analyses included baseline mI and NAA from both MS WM and GM areas. The interaction between NAA and mI showed a statistically significant effect on PBVC. This statistical interaction between mI and NAA (reduced NAA and increased mI) along with biological plausibility (reduced axonal integrity and increased gliosis) prompted consideration of the mI:NAA ratio as a predictor. For instance, the observed interaction suggested that a decrease of NAA could accelerate the effect of mI on atrophy. The mI:NAA ratio would represent a practical and simple approach to define and exploit such a relationship. The rationales for the choice of a ratio, rather than any other functional combinations of mI and NAA, were (1) they are convenient for general use in MRS because they require simpler acquisitions and postprocessing compared with absolute metabolite quantification; (2) ratios derived from other metabolites, such as NAA:creatine, have been widely used in spectroscopy for decades; and (3) ratios are more intuitive for readers less engaged in mathematical background. Indeed, higher baseline mI:NAA ratio in NAWM was a statistically significant predictor of increased longitudinal brain-volume loss, MSFC evolution, and sustained MSFC progression.

Myelin water fraction, a marker of myelin integrity, was not a statistically significant predictor in any statistical models we performed. The lack of sensitivity and robustness (despite good quality fits of all compartment peaks; data not shown) of our technique in detecting myelin injury could explain these findings or, alternatively, it could support the notion that permanent disability in MS may be driven by axonal rather than myelin damage.\(^{31,32}\)

A large confirmatory study using an independent MS group of patients and a different metabolite quantification method was conducted to replicate the results from the preliminary study. Notably, the mI:NAA ratio in NAWM was again able to predict brain-volume loss, MSFC \( z \) score, EDSS score change over time, and 12-month sustained EDSS score progression, overall confirming the main findings of the preliminary results. Of note, WM lesion volume correlated only modestly with mI:NAA ratio in NAWM (Spearman correlation range, 0.22–0.28; eAppendix 2 in the Supplement). Lesion volume’s independent contribution (in addition to the mI:NAA ratio in NAWM) to brain-volume change was also minimal, with no contribution in our data sets to EDSS and MSFC \( z \) score evolution. This could suggest an important and independent role of diffuse astrogliosis and axonal WM injury in MS disease evolution.

Previous smaller studies had found that mI correlated cross-sectionally with clinical disability\(^{33,34}\) and that low levels of NAA and high mI in NAWM of clinically isolated syndrome patients were predictors of conversion to clinically definite MS.\(^{35}\) Higher mI:NAA ratio levels in patients with MS are likely to reflect the combination of astrogliosis and axonal damage. From both data sets, mI levels (mainly from NAWM) consistently predicted brain-volume changes and disability. Although causality was not determined in this study, the results could highlight the importance of reactive astrocytes in MS, potentially having a deleterious action on disability and brain atrophy when astrogliosis increases. However, its deleterious effect can be influenced by axonal status. This reinforces the theory of the dual role of astrocytes in MS: on one side, they may contribute to degeneration and demyelination by promoting inflammation, damage of oligodendrocytes and axons, and glial scarring, but on the other side, they may create a permissive environment for remyelination.\(^{36,37}\) Nonetheless, both in vivo metabolite levels taken together seem to be important to predict the outcomes of interest.

Overall, the predictive power of the metabolites in GM was less pronounced than metabolites estimated from WM areas. However, the importance of GM pathology in MS is not questioned by these findings. Estimating metabolites is challenging in the cortical GM especially when using a 2-dimensional spectroscopy single-slice technique such as the one used in the confirmatory study. Additionally, issues related to partial voluming may be at play, this being particularly important for cortical GM owing to its ribbonlike morphological nature. Nonetheless, this does not invalidate the robust predictive value of the mI:NAA ratio derived from NAWM.

Our study had limitations. We did not evaluate the longitudinal variations of our metabolites and of MWF. Therefore, we were unable to characterize the longitudinal progression...
of all predictors considered in the study; obtaining such data would address a different question but could provide additional insight. Nonetheless, a cross-sectional (baseline) predictor derived from a single scan could offer clinicians a practical and convenient tool for patient monitoring. Additionally, all spectroscopy scans were acquired on a single 3-T GE platform and participants were recruited from a single site. Although generalization of the findings and potential population biases could represent limitations, a single-site study and disability measures predicted by the ratio were overall low, preventing the application of this measure for prediction at the individual level. We rather think our results inform about the pathological substrates of disease evolution.

A practical result of this experiment is that a metabolite ratio came up as our most robust predictor. A major advantage for the use of metabolite ratios over individual metabolite concentrations is that they are relatively easy to measure and can be readily obtained from clinical MRI facilities. However, we think that the biological interpretation of the mI:NAA ratio is different than the NAA:creatinine ratio, another metabolite ratio that has been used in MS research. The mI:NAA ratio rather reflects known pathological processes of central nervous system injury instead of using creatinine as a normal reference. As such, its validation in other neurodegenerative disorders may also prove to be promising. Additionally, the mI:NAA ratio could be of significant interest to evaluate MS therapies aiming to achieve neuroprotection.

**Conclusions**

We concluded that the mI:NAA ratio derived from NAWM in MS is a robust cross-sectional predictor of brain-volume loss and clinical disability over time. Our work demonstrated that the combination of astrogliosis and axonal damage has cardinal importance in the evolution of MS. Further studies should evaluate its potential in clinical settings.
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