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amed by the seeded polymerization of cellular prion protein (PrPSc) into abnormal forms designated PrPSc.1 While most cases are sporadic or inherited by PrP gene mutation, the most striking epidemiological feature of these conditions is the occurrence of outbreaks or epidemics of acquired prion disease related to zoonoses or medical accidents. The transmission of the cattle prion disease, bovine spongiform encephalopathy, to humans as variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (vCJD) is a recent example of this phenomenon, which devastated the British livestock industry and caused a public health crisis because the eventual size of the human epidemic was impossible to accurately predict.2

While the incidence of vCJD has thankfully been in decline for more than a decade, the United Kingdom and other European countries still face concerns about the disease for several reasons. First, incubation times to human prion disease can be remarkably long, more than 50 years for some patients with kuru, an acquired prion disease of the Papua New Guinean highlanders, and more than 35 years for some patients with disease acquired from contaminated cadaver-derived human growth hormone.2 Second, prions have been uniformly found in peripheral lymphoreticular tissue of patients with vCJD and vCJD prions may also be present in blood; the disease has now been transmitted by blood or blood products in at least 5 cases.7 Lymphoreticular deposition of PrP allows a pathological antemortem diagnosis of vCJD by tonsillar biopsy and, in our experience, has shown 100% sensitivity and specificity.4-7

Third, anonymous studies of discarded surgical lymphoreticular tissues have shown that the infection rate in the healthy UK population appears to be surprisingly high at approximately 1:2000. The proportion of such individuals who will go on to develop clinical vCJD, rather than remaining as asymptomatic carriers, is unknown, although studies of subclinical carrier states in animal models suggest that such carriers, where infecting bovine prions have induced human prion propagation, would pose a risk for transmitting a lethal infection to other humans if they were blood or organ donors or if their tissues contaminated surgical or medical instruments.9 The possibility of a screening blood test to help minimize such secondary transmissions came closer with the publication in 2011 of a prototype test with 71% sensitivity in a blinded study of vCJD.10 Sensitivity is an important issue if the test is to be used to detect subclinical infection for which no valid test samples are available. It remains possible that a subset of patients with vCJD has very low or undetectable prionaemia and
poses little risk for public health and could not be detected by blood-based assays. Here we present a recent patient with a clinical diagnosis of vCJD who showed extremely low lymphoectular deposition of prion protein, a finding that has altered our protocols for tonsillar biopsy at the National Prion Clinic and has implications for the interpretation of ongoing prevalence studies and interpretation of the sensitivity of experimental blood-based assays.

### Report of a Case

A 36-year-old man lost his job owing to restructuring at his employer's company. He developed low mood and did not make plans for alternative employment. He became abnormally repetitive in behavior and conversation. A month later, his walking became unsteady, and he developed choreiform arm movements. He was treated with antidepressants without effect. There was no history of treatment with growth hormone, corneal or dura mater grafting, or neurosurgery, and he had not required a blood transfusion or blood products. There was no family history of neuropsychiatric disease. Five months from onset of the mood disturbance, he scored only 10 of 30 on the Mini-Mental State Examination. On focal testing results, there were evident problems with episodic memory, orientation, comprehension, and frontal executive function. His speech was mildly slurred but fluent. On neurological examination, he had a choreoathetoid movement disorder. He was unable to sustain either finger grip or tongue protrusion. There was an upgaze paresis and abnormal saccadic movements. He was markedly dyspraxic. Muscle tone and power and tendon reflexes were normal. Coordination was very poor with gross finger nose ataxia, dysdiadochokinesis, and a very unsteady gait.

The patient's parents provided written informed consent. Ethical approval was obtained from the National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery Research Ethics Committee.

Brain magnetic resonance imaging was performed on 2 occasions and each showed the pulvinar sign consistent with vCJD. No mutations were detected on sequencing of PRNP; the codon 129 genotype was methionine homozygous (the genotype seen in all neuropathologically confirmed cases of vCJD). Electroencephalography and cerebrospinal fluid examinations did not suggest alternative diagnoses. The result of the Direct Detection Assay for vCJD infection in blood was negative. The clinical findings were compatible with vCJD and investigations were strongly supportive of this diagnosis; he met World Health Organization criteria for probable vCJD. After full discussion, the patient's family wished for a definitive diagnosis during life and tonsillar biopsy was done.

For immunohistochemistry, tissues were fixed in 10% formalin for 24 hours (biopsy tissue) or 1 week (autopsy tissues), followed by 1-hour immersion into 98% formic acid and 24-hour postfixation in formalin and dehydration in graded alcohols and paraffin embedding. Paraffin sections of 5-μm thickness were prepared and sections were stained with anti-PrP monoclonal antibody KG9 (1:1000; IAH, TSE Resource Centre) and anti-PrP monoclonal antibody ICSM35 (1:1000 of 100 μg/mL; D-Gen), respectively. The tonsil biopsy was sectioned in levels of 25 μm to detect abnormal PrP.

Frozen lymphoid tissue from the tonsil biopsy specimen was examined for the presence of disease-related prion protein by high sensitivity immunoblotting. This showed a weak type 4 PrPSc signal, indicative of vCJD, in 1 tissue homogenate (Figure 1), while another was negative (data not shown). No prion protein was detected by immunohistochemistry on...
the first 2 routine sections of the tonsil biopsy. We then prepared additional 16 levels from the remaining tissue block. Two separate small clusters of abnormal PrP were detected in levels 4 through 6 and 9. No other positive immunolabeling was detected (Figure 2).

The patient was prescribed doxycycline, 100 mg daily, an experimental therapeutic in prion disease, but this did not appear to alter his clinical course of steady deterioration in neurological and functional state. He died 12 months from clinical onset; an autopsy was conducted.

Neuropathological examination demonstrated spongiform vacuolation in all neocortical regions and in the cerebellum with frequent florid plaques and extensive deposition of abnormal PrP characteristic of vCJD. In stark contrast, 7 tissue blocks of the spleen were examined and showed only 3 follicles with abnormal PrP in follicular dendritic cells. Immunoblotting of the brain (frontal cortex) demonstrated the presence of type 4 PrPSc (Figure 2) pathognomonic of vCJD. High sensitivity immunoblot analyses of single-tissue homogenates prepared from the tonsil, appendix, and spleen were scored negative for disease-related prion protein (data not shown).

Discussion

The negative result on first immunohistological examination of the tonsil was surprising and might have prompted the consideration of alternative diagnoses had highly sensitive immunoblotting for PrPSc not been done. Some patients with vCJD may have remarkably small amounts of peripheral PrPSc, a finding which has several implications. Previously, we reported 100% sensitivity and specificity for vCJD diagnosis based on tonsillar biopsy with examination of at least 20 tonsillar follicles. We now recommend that the entire biopsy specimen or several hundred follicles are assessed before reporting a confident negative finding.

Large prevalence studies in the United Kingdom have been conducted based on abnormal PrP immunohistology in discarded surgical appendix and tonsil tissues. The most recent and precise estimate of subclinical infection in the United Kingdom is 1 in 2000. This case report may contribute to the interpretation of these prevalence data because it highlights that even patients with end-stage vCJD may have minimal PrP deposition. Some of the immunohistological abnormalities seen in these studies were not as marked as is typically seen in terminal clinical vCJD cases, prompting concern that these findings may underestimate the prevalence of asymptomatic prion infection. It is reasonable to infer that subclinical infection may be similarly associated with minimal PrP deposition in appendiceal tissue because this is seen in autopsy after the terminal clinical disease.

Conclusions

The appendix prevalence data raise legitimate concerns about the prevalence of prionemia because a large number of individuals with presumably subclinical vCJD prion infection are blood donors and are undergoing invasive dentistry and surgery. Based on observations of several mammalian species, abnormal PrP immunoreactivity in peripheral lymphoreticular tissue is likely to be an accurate surrogate for prionemia. Although there is a clear and pressing need for a donor blood screening assay, a proportion of vCJD-infected individuals may pose lower risks for secondary transmission of prion infection. The Direct
Detection Assay result was negative in this case. The published sensitivity of this assay in a blinded series of vCJD blood samples is 71%; therefore, a negative result in a single case is not surprising. Although this test is the only assay proven to detect vCJD infection from clinical cases, there are several other experimental blood tests for vCJD in different stages of development. Our data suggest that it may be impossible to achieve sensitivity approaching 100% using available validation samples because some patients with vCJD may have no or very little prionemia. Apparently modest sensitivity results in blinded studies of test validity should be interpreted with caution.
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