Loss of Fornix White Matter Volume as a Predictor of Cognitive Impairment in Cognitively Normal Elderly Individuals

Evan Fletcher, PhD; Mekala Raman, BA; Philip Huebner; Amy Liu, BA; Dan Mungas, PhD; Owen Carmichael, PhD; Charles DeCarli, MD

IMPORTANCE Magnetic resonance imaging markers of incipient cognitive decline among healthy elderly individuals have become important for both clarifying the biological underpinnings of dementia and clinically identifying healthy individuals at high risk of cognitive decline. Even though the role of hippocampal atrophy is well known in the later stages of decline, the ability of fornix-hippocampal markers to predict the earliest clinical deterioration is less clear.

OBJECTIVES To examine the involvement of the hippocampus-fornix circuit in the very earliest stages of cognitive impairment and to determine whether the volumes of fornix white matter and hippocampal gray matter would be useful markers for understanding the onset of dementia and for clinical intervention.

DESIGN A longitudinal cohort of cognitively normal elderly participants received clinical evaluations with T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging and diffusivity scans during repeated visits over an average of 4 years. Regression and Cox proportional hazards models were used to analyze the relationships between fornix and hippocampal measures and their predictive power for incidence and time of conversion from normal to impaired cognition.

SETTING A cohort of community-recruited elderly individuals at the Alzheimer Disease Center of the University of California, Davis.

PARTICIPANTS A total of 102 cognitively normal elderly participants, with an average age of 73 years, recruited through community outreach using methods designed to enhance ethnic diversity.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES Our preliminary hypothesis was that fornix white matter volume should be a significant predictor of cognitive decline among normal elderly individuals and that fornix measures would be associated with gray matter changes in the hippocampus.

RESULTS Fornix body volume and axial diffusivity were highly significant predictors ($P = .02$ and .005, respectively) of cognitive decline from normal cognition. Hippocampal volume was not significant as a predictor of decline but was significantly associated with fornix volume and diffusivity ($P = .004$).

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE This could be among the first studies establishing fornix degeneration as a predictor of incipient cognitive decline among healthy elderly individuals. Predictive fornix volume reductions might be explained at least in part by clinically silent hippocampus degeneration. The importance of this finding is that white matter tract measures may become promising candidate biomarkers for identifying incipient cognitive decline in a clinical setting, possibly more so than traditional gray matter measures.
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An increasingly important focus of aging and dementia research is the earliest departure from normal cognition into cognitive decline among cognitively healthy elderly individuals. A variety of recent research\textsuperscript{1-5} has suggested that macroscopic structural changes in the brain, which are identifiable through magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), may be discernible years before measurable cognitive loss. These MRI markers of incipient cognitive decline among healthy elderly individuals have become especially important for both clarifying the biological underpinnings of dementia and clinically identifying healthy individuals at high risk of cognitive decline. Recognizing that neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer disease (AD) will be most effectively prevented or slowed when treated early, identifying such cases of increased risk using widely available procedures such as brain MRI is becoming increasingly vital.

Although hippocampal atrophy is one of the earliest and most studied macroscopic changes associated with the AD process,\textsuperscript{7} changes to the fornix and other regions structurally connected to the hippocampus are still being delineated. The fornix consists of axons emerging from the cornu ammonis 1 (CA1) and subiculum subfields of the hippocampus and primarily innervating neurons in the mammillary bodies.\textsuperscript{8-12} This hippocampus-fornix circuitry is essential to episodic memory consolidation.\textsuperscript{13-14} Recent work in our laboratory has found significant associations between hippocampal atrophy and microstructural degeneration of the fornix among individuals with memory impairment and dementia.\textsuperscript{15}

Compared with the hippocampus, however, the fornix has been far less studied as a predictor of cognitive change throughout the AD process. In particular, although the integrity of the fornix decreases with age in healthy individuals and can be used as a predictor of the conversion from mild cognitive impairment (MCI) to AD,\textsuperscript{16-18} few studies have assessed the fornix as a predictor of conversion from normal cognition to MCI.\textsuperscript{1} Moreover, the predictive powers of the hippocampus and the fornix have rarely been compared in the same study. Finally, it is unclear whether fornix volume\textsuperscript{19} or measures of microstructural integrity\textsuperscript{17,18} (ie, fractional anisotropy [FA] or axial and radial diffusivities), more clearly identify AD-related changes.

The present study aims to address these issues. It compares measures of fornix morphometry and microstructural integrity with measures of hippocampus subfield morphometry as predictors of conversion from normal cognition to MCI or AD. It also analyzes the strength of associations among hippocampus measures and fornix measures. We explored the extent to which each of these measures of the fornix-hippocampal circuit are associated with one another and the extent to which they each predict conversion from normal to impaired cognition.

**Methods**

**Participants**

The participants for our study consisted of 102 cognitively normal individuals recruited into the Longitudinal Cohort of the Alzheimer Disease Center of the University of California, Davis. Participants were recruited through community outreach using methods designed to enhance ethnic diversity.\textsuperscript{20} All participants provided informed consent before participating in our study.

**Clinical Evaluation**

Each participant received multidisciplinary clinical evaluations at the Alzheimer Disease Center of the University of California, Davis, at baseline and at approximately annual follow-up examinations. Evaluations included a detailed medical history and physical and neurological examinations. Diagnosis of cognitive syndromes (MCI or AD) were made according to standardized criteria\textsuperscript{21} by a consensus conference of clinicians. The Clinical Dementia Rating Scale Sum of Boxes score\textsuperscript{22} was assessed as a measure of clinically relevant functional impairment.

**Neuropsychological Testing**

The psychometrically matched cognitive measures collected at each evaluation were from the Spanish and English Neuropsychological Assessment Scales.\textsuperscript{23-26} The present study used the Spanish and English Neuropsychological Assessment Scales to measure episodic memory and executive function. These measures do not have appreciable floor or ceiling effects for participants in this sample and have linear measurement properties across a broad ability range.\textsuperscript{23,24}

**Magnetic Resonance Imaging**

Each participant received a structural T1-weighted MRI scan and a diffusion-tensor imaging (DTI) sequence at a date close to the baseline clinical evaluation. All images were acquired at the University of California, Davis, Imaging Research Center. The T1-weighted spoiled gradient recalled echo acquisition had the following parameters: repetition time, 9.1 milliseconds; flip angle, 15°; field of view, 24 cm; and slice thickness, 1.5 mm. The 2-dimensional axial-oblique single-shot spin-echo planar DTI sequence had the following parameters: echo time, 9.4 milliseconds; repetition time, 8000 milliseconds; flip angle, 90°; field of view, 24 cm; and slice thickness, 5 mm. The B value was 1000 s/mm\textsuperscript{2} with 6 gradient directions collected 4 times each, plus 2 B0 images. Diffusivity summary parameters (FA and axial and radial diffusivities) were calculated using the FSL toolbox.\textsuperscript{27}

**Fornix Volume and Diffusivity Analysis**

Native fornix volume and diffusivity measurements were calculated using previously described automated methods.\textsuperscript{25} The crux of the technique is the automatic deformation of a template fornix body region of interest (ROI) onto each participant’s image (Figure 1) to delineate the native fornix. We focused on the fornix body, but we excluded the crura because they are difficult to match or segment precisely and have also been excluded in other recent studies (eg, see Oishi et al\textsuperscript{28}). To minimize partial volume artifacts in each participant’s native fornix ROI, each T1-weighted image was segmented into gray matter, white matter, and cerebrospinal fluid compartments,\textsuperscript{28} and only voxels labeled white matter voxels were used to calculate fornix properties, including volume, FA, radial diffus-
First, we constructed a reference survival model-building approach, as in previous analyses by our group. We censored at that date. Participants who remained without cognitive impairment by their last visit were censored at that date. Each of several predictors was tested in a sequential model-building approach, as in previous analyses by our group. First, we constructed a reference survival model containing only the fixed effects of baseline age, years of education, and sex. Next, we constructed a series of “single-factor” models, each containing the effects of the reference model along with 1 additional factor. The following factors, measured at baseline, were evaluated in single-factor models: episodic memory; executive function; Mini-Mental State Examination score; fornix body white matter volume; fornix body FA, radial diffusivity, and axial diffusivity; CA1 right and CA1 left hippocampal radial thicknesses; total hippocampal volume; and log-transformed white matter hyperintensity volume and vascular risk. The vascular risk score was measured as the sum of 6 factors (stroke, transient ischemic attach, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, and heart disease) and is reported as a percentage. Volumetric measures (fornix volume, white matter hyperintensity volume, and hippocampal volume) were normalized by whole-head size. To standardize effect size across all measures, all measurement variables were converted to $z$ scores based on their distribution of values in the patient population.

For each single-factor model, we used a likelihood ratio to determine whether the model produced a significant increase of predictive power over the reference model. All factors whose likelihood ratio test passed a $P$ value threshold of .10 were included in a final multiple-effects model. Thus, the multiple-factor model contained all the effects in the reference model and all single effects of cognitive or MRI variables passing this test of improved predictive power.

**Relations Among Fornix and Hippocampus Measures**

Subsequent analysis explored whether a reduced fornix volume reflected an isolated anatomical lesion or, as suggested by our earlier analyses, broader injury to a more extended circuit including both the hippocampus and the fornix. Pairwise correlations among fornix and hippocampus variables were assessed. In addition, a linear multiregression model was estimated with age; sex; fornix FA, axial diffusivity, and radial diffusivity; vascular risk factors; and hippocampal measures as predictors and with fornix body volume as the outcome. We then used principal components analysis to identify patterns of associations among fornix volume, fornix diffusivity measures, and hippocampus volume and thickness.
Results

Participants

Of the 102 participants who were cognitively normal at baseline, 20 subsequently progressed (“converted”) to mild cognitive impairment (18 participants) or Alzheimer disease (2 participants), and 82 remained normal throughout the time frame of our study. The demographic characteristics of the cohort are summarized in Table 1.

Predictors of Conversion From Normal to Impaired Cognition

The only single-factor models passing the P < .10 threshold were those for fornix volume, fornix axial diffusivity, and mean radial thicknesses of the CA1 right and CA1 left hippocampal ROIs. Single-factor models for episodic memory, executive function, Mini-Mental State Examination score, fornix FA, fornix radial diffusivity, total hippocampal volume, white matter hyperintensity volume, and vascular risk factors did not pass the significance threshold.

The multiple-factor model, which included age, education, sex, fornix volume, fornix axial diffusivity, and mean radial distances of the CA1 right and CA1 left hippocampal ROIs, had significantly greater explanatory power than the reference model under a likelihood ratio test (P < .001). Table 2 summarizes the significance and hazard ratios for all effects in the multiple-effects model. Greater age, greater fornix axial diffusivity, and lesser fornix volume were independently associated with greater risk of conversion. Increases of 1 SD in fornix body volume, fornix axial diffusivity, and age were associated, respectively, with a 53% reduction in risk, a 25% increase in risk, and a 15% increase in risk.

For a graphical depiction of the effect of fornix volume on conversion risk, we split participants into 2 groups based on a fornix volume threshold midpoint between the mean value among converters and the mean value among nonconverters (normalized volume ×1000 = 0.39). Survival curves for these 2 groups suggest greater survivorship among those with a greater fornix volume (Figure 2).

Relations Among Fornix and Other Measures

Single Regression Models

As expected, the volumes of the hippocampus and CA1 radial distance measures were highly correlated (mean r > 0.6, P < .001). Similarly, there was a significant association between fornix white matter volume and fornix FA (r = 0.31, P = .002) but not between fornix volume and radial diffusivity. Total hippocampal volume was also significantly associated with both fornix FA (r = 0.30, P = .002) and fornix white matter volume (r = 0.41, P < .001), whereas hippocampal CA1 area radial thickness also had strong associations with fornix volume (CA1 left: r = 0.23, P = .02; CA1 right: r = 0.29, P = .002). There was no significant association between fornix and whole-brain white matter hyperintensity or between fornix and vascular risk score.

Multiregression Model

Table 3 summarizes the multiple regression model with age, sex, fornix diffusivities, vascular risk score, and the hippocampal measures as predictors and with fornix white matter volume as the outcome. Total hippocampal volume (P = .02) was the only significant predictor, with fornix body FA suggesting a trend-level association (P = .11). Radial diffusivity and axial diffusivity were not significant. The model with all covariates explained 27% of the variance in fornix body volume.

Principal Component Analysis

Principal component analysis identified 3 components that together explained 87% of the variance. Component 1 loaded

### Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Converters and Nonconverters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Converters (n = 20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>78.1 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, No.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, y</td>
<td>12.1 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE score</td>
<td>27.5 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z Score</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episodic</td>
<td>-0.16 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive</td>
<td>-0.32 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOE4, %</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation interval, y</td>
<td>4.4 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to convert, y</td>
<td>3.0 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination.

* Of 102 participants who were cognitively normal at baseline, 20 subsequently progressed (“converted”) to mild cognitive impairment (18 participants) or Alzheimer disease (2 participants), and 82 remained normal throughout the time frame of our study.

** Significant difference.

### Table 2. Significances and HRs for All Factors in Proportional Hazards Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>P Value*</th>
<th>Mean HR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>&lt;.001**</td>
<td>1.15 (1.06–1.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>0.90 (0.78–1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male vs female)</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>2.28 (0.76–8.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus radial thickness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA1 right</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>1.22 (0.62–2.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA1 left</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>0.50 (0.22–1.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fornix body white volume</td>
<td>.02**</td>
<td>0.47 (0.24–0.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fornix axial diffusivity</td>
<td>.005**</td>
<td>1.25 (1.02–1.46)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CA1, cornu ammonis 1; HR, hazard ratio.

* Combining nonbrain factors with fornix body white matter volume and fornix axial diffusivity.

* Significant factor.
heavily (0.5 in magnitude) on each of the hippocampal measures, whereas component 2 loaded heavily (0.6 in magnitude) on fornix FA and on fornix volume. Component 3 loaded extremely heavily on fornix FA and on fornix volume (magnitudes of 0.7 and 0.63, respectively), with much lighter loadings (magnitudes no greater than 0.2) on the hippocampal measures. All hippocampal measures thus were loaded most heavily on component 1, whereas the fornix measures had heavy loadings (all >0.5 in magnitude) on each of the components 2 and 3.

**Discussion**

**Fornix Body Volume as Predictor of Cognitive Decline From Normal**

Our results suggest that fornix variables (volume and axial diffusivity) are measurable brain factors that precede the earliest clinically relevant deterioration of cognitive function among cognitively normal elderly individuals. Although hippocampus measures have been studied much more deeply in relation to age-associated cognitive decline, our direct comparison between fornix and hippocampus measures suggests that fornix properties have a superior ability to identify incipient cognitive decline among healthy elderly individuals. The key importance of this finding is that it suggests that white matter tract measures may prove to be promising candidate biomarkers for predicting incipient cognitive decline among cognitively normal individuals in a clinical setting, possibly more so than traditional gray matter measures.

The association between fornix degeneration and eventual cognitive decline is plausible owing to the important role the hippocampus-fornix circuitry plays in memory consolidation. But this finding does not clarify what biological events may cause fornix degeneration early in the course of clinically significant cognitive decline. Although further work is necessary to address causality, our analysis of associations between fornix and hippocampus measures supports a model in which fornix degeneration reflects early-stage degeneration of a broader hippocampus-fornix circuit. Specifically, regression analysis suggested that there are correlations between hippocampus and fornix measures. These hippocampus-fornix associations echo similar findings from prior studies of individuals in more advanced stages of cognitive decline. The possible biological substrates for joint hippocampus and fornix degeneration could include Wallerian-like degeneration of fornix axons secondary to early AD-related neuronal soma injury in the hippocampus, and primary axonal injury due to the toxic effects of β-amyloid on oligodendrocytes, which can lead to soma injury as a secondary consequence. Meanwhile, vascular risk scores and brain white matter hyperintensity burden were not significantly associated with fornix measures, thus reducing the prospect that cerebrovascular disease burden is a significant driver for fornix degeneration. Longitudinal cognitive and disease biomarker data are required to better distinguish between these hypotheses.

Greater water diffusivity along the direction of travel of the axon (axial diffusivity) is often presented as an indicator of white matter tract integrity, but, in our analysis, it was associated with increased risk of cognitive conversion. A plausible scenario for this finding is that, in the course of axonal damage, axial diffusivity at first decreases owing to axonal breakdown but subsequently increases again as microglia clear the axonal fragments that have been impeding the parallel diffusion of water molecules. Two recent studies suggesting that increases in axial diffusivity are associated with reductions in FA support this view. Experiments with axonal resections in mice have also suggested that axial diffusivity is the most sensitive diffusivity measure of axonal injury. However, the lack of a significant association of either radial diffusivity or axial diffusivity with fornix body volume (but a trending significant association of FA with fornix body volume) indicates that we cannot attribute early fornix changes
solely to demyelination or axonal loss separately. Longitudinal DTI studies charting individual diffusivity changes over time will be required to clarify this situation.

**Comparison With Other Recent Studies**

To our knowledge, ours is the first study to find that fornix body volume is a significant predictor of cognitive decline in cognitively normal individuals. This finding is consistent with the recent study by Zhuang et al.,3 who found that FA values within the fornix and several other brain regions critical to memory (the precuneus, parahippocampal cingulum, and parahippocampal gyrus white matter) predicted conversion to amnesic MCI, with the precuneus appearing to be the strongest such predictor, and also with a follow-up study from the same group43 that compared 2 amnestic MCI cohorts to find a temporal order of disintegration. After cognitive impairment, microstructural damage appeared earlier in the fornix than in the uncinate and parahippocampal gyri, suggesting a primary role for the fornix in early cognitive decline. This supports our findings about the fornix’s predictive power regarding the conversion of cognitively normal individuals. The key difference between our study and these studies,1,41 as well as earlier studies showing the association between the fornix and impaired cognition in MCI and AD, is that our study shows the fornix predicting future decline in cognitively normal participants.

We also note that our findings appear to be inconsistent with the findings of the study by Copenhaver et al.,19 who concluded that cross-sectional fornix differences between cognitively normal individuals and patients with MCI were not significant. Our contrasting conclusions may be due in part to differing methods of ROI creation. Their ROI measures were hand drawn and based on a detailed protocol. Other ROI approaches have used 1 or several slices17,18 or even individual voxels.42 Such approaches may possibly introduce greater spurious variability in fornix measures, reducing the statistical power, in contrast to our automated ROI delineation.

**Limitations**

Our main limitation was the small number of cognitively normal converters for whom MRI, DTI, and hippocampal ROI data were available, thus limiting the stability and statistical power of survival and regression models. A second limitation was that our hippocampal ROIs were hand drawn, potentially weakening the statistical power of association between the shape of the fornix and the shape of the hippocampus.

**Conclusions**

Our study finds that fornix volume is a predictor of conversion from normal cognition to clinically relevant cognitive impairment. This volume loss may be a consequence of cell body loss in the hippocampus leading to axon deterioration or possibly amyloidosis; future research is required to clarify the biological substrates. These results extend previous findings of the association between the fornix and the conversion from MCI to AD by suggesting that fornix degeneration may also predict the departure from normal brain aging.